Dominant factors influencing the concentrations of particulate matters inside train carriages traveling in different environments in the Taipei Mass Rapid Transit system
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ABSTRACT

Air quality inside metro systems is a critical issue for commuters and metro employees because of the considerable time they spend traveling or working in such systems. This study measured the PM10, PM2.5, particle number (PN), and CO2 levels and particle mass size distributions inside operating trains to reveal the factors influencing the concentrations and size distributions of particulate matter (PM) inside metro train carriages traveling in different environments. Measurement results demonstrated that the CO2 level was associated with ridership and that the PM levels and particle mass size distributions were remarkably affected by the surrounding conditions of the trains, such as their journey in underground tunnels, on ground-level tracks, and in different travel directions. Furthermore, the particle mass size distributions inside the metro train carriages exhibited a clear triple-mode pattern. The particle mass size distribution patterns between the ground-level and underground routes were considerably different due to their different potential sources of particles.
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1. Introduction

Metro systems constitute a major public transportation mode and typically serve billions of commuters annually in metropolitan areas worldwide because of their advantages, such as environmental friendliness, efficiency, low emission, and high capacity, as compared with other transportation modes. However, the air quality inside metro systems is a critical issue for commuters because they usually spend considerable time, occasionally up to 1–2 h a day, either on platforms or inside trains, especially inside train carriages.

At the start of the 1990s, Chan et al. (1991) conducted an extensive study of commuter exposure in the subway system in Boston. After this study and more than a decade of research, different air pollutants and their levels have been measured in many metro systems worldwide (Nieuwenhuijsen et al., 2007). Most of these studies have concentrated on the levels of suspended particulate matter (PM) and its elemental compositions (Salma et al., 2009; Abbasi et al., 2013; Martins et al., 2015) because suspended PM in a metro system strongly affects health (Karlsson et al., 2006; Bachoual et al., 2007; Karlsson et al., 2008; Steenhof et al., 2011).

According to these previous studies, the widely varying PM concentrations on metro platforms and inside train carriages in different metro systems depend on a complex interplay of factors such as differences in the length and design of stations and tunnels, system age, wheel and rail-track materials and braking mechanisms, train speed and frequency, passenger densities, ventilation and air conditioning systems, and cleaning frequency (Salma et al., 2007; Moreno et al., 2014). On platforms and inside train carriages, the average PM$_{10}$ concentrations are usually in the ranges of 38–457 and 16–312 μg m$^{-3}$, respectively, and the PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations are 19–352 and...
14–247 μg m⁻³, respectively (Abbasi et al., 2013; Cheng et al., 2012; Martins et al., 2015; Cartenì et al., 2015). The primary element in metro PM is Fe, and other elements are Si, Mn, Cr, Cu, Ca, and K (Querol et al., 2012; Cusack et al., 2015; Moreno et al., 2015).

Although levels of PM₁₀, PM₂.₅, or particle number (PN) have been measured on platforms and inside train carriages in many metro systems, information related to the characteristics of particle size distributions in metro systems is limited (Salma et al., 2009; Abbasi et al., 2013). Cheng and Yan (2011) investigated the particle mass size distributions at three metro stations, and they reported the size distribution patterns to be considerably different at ground and in underground stations. The particle mass size distributions in the underground ticket hall and platform exhibited a two-mode pattern: an accumulation mode (approximately 0.4 μm) and a coarse mode (> 15 μm). A large fraction of coarse PM in the underground ticket hall and platform had been resuspended by the movement of large numbers of commuters, and fine PM was likely transferred from its source outside the station. Particle size not only influences the severity of adverse health effects but also conveys information on factors contributing to particle formation and generation. Therefore, to understand PM generation mechanisms, investigating the particle size distributions in underground metro systems is necessary.

The Taipei Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) system comprises five metro lines stretching 131.1 km, linking 117 stations, carrying, on average, approximately 2.1 million commuters per day, and making nearly 740 million journeys per year (TRTC, 2017). It has become one of the largest metro systems in the world; however, concerns have been raised over whether the suspended PM can pose a health risk to commuters and metro employees. Therefore, the objectives of this study were to measure the
PM\textsubscript{10}, PM\textsubscript{2.5}, PN levels and particle mass size distributions inside operating trains to reveal the factors influencing the concentrations and size distributions of PM inside metro train carriages traveling in different environments, such as their journey in underground tunnels, on ground-level tracks, and in different travel directions. In this study, the CO\textsubscript{2} levels were also measured because it is a common air quality index in indoor environments. Based on field measurement results, some methods are proposed for improving air quality in the Taipei MRT system when it continues to expand in the future.

2. Methods

2.1. Taipei MRT system

Fig. 1 shows a map of the Taipei MRT system. Currently, this system comprises five routes—namely the Brown Line (BR), Red Line (R), Green Line (G), Orange Line (O), and Blue Line (BL)—and uses two types of train cars depending on the transportation capacity. Except for the BR, all metro lines are designed as heavy-capacity. A heavy-capacity train consists of two 3-car electric multiple unit (EMU) sets with a total of six cars, which are interconnected (Fig. 2a and 2b). Each car of the heavy-capacity train is approximately 23.5 m long, 3.2 m wide, and 3.6 m high, and it has four doors on each side. A heavy-capacity train has steel wheels and runs on steel rails. Despite the train being computer controlled, it is still monitored by an onboard operator. The BR is a medium-capacity metro line. Each train consists of two 2-car EMU sets, with a total of four cars; each car is separate and not interconnected (Fig. 2c and 2d). Each car of the medium-capacity train is approximately 13.9 m long, 2.5 m wide, and 3.7 m high, and there are two doors on each side of the car. Unlike heavy-capacity trains, medium-capacity trains use rubber tires and are operated remotely by the system control center without an onboard operator.
operator. In the Taipei MRT system, all train carriages are equipped with air conditioners. The temperature difference between the inside and outside of the train carriage was kept less than 10 °C to avoid encountering with the thermal shock problem. Moreover, the relative humidity inside the train carriage was kept between 30%–70%. The air supply inside the train carriage was made up of 80–90% recirculated air and 10–20% of fresh air.

In this study, the routes in the Taipei MRT system were divided into two groups based on their operating mode: ground-level route and underground route. Table 1 presents a summary of the operating mode, travel time, length, and number of stations for the seven arranged routes in this study. The ground-level route comprises BR and R (G), whereas the underground route comprises R (UG), G, O (A), O (B), and BL.

BR almost operates on the ground level, except for a small section between Songshan Airport Station (BR13) and Dazhi Station (BR14). However, the length of this small underground section is only approximately 3.1 km. Thus, BR was considered as a ground-level route in this study. R is the only route among these heavy-capacity metro lines that has underground and ground-level sections in the Taipei MRT system. The underground section, R (UG), stretches from Xiangshan Station (R02) to Minquan W. Rd Station (R13), whereas the ground-level section, R (G), stretches from Yuanshan Station (R14) to Tamsui Station (R28). Additionally, O currently runs on two separate routes: O (A) from Nanshijiao Station (O01) to Huilong Station (O21) and O (B) from Nanshijiao Station (O01) to Luzhou Station (O54). On this route, the train runs on the same section between Nanshijiao Station (O01) and Daqiaotou Station (O12).

The operating time of the Taipei MRT system is from 6:00 AM (first train) to 00:00 PM (last train) every day (including national holidays). The train frequency
varies during the day, with a frequency of one train per 4–8 min.

2.2. Field study design and data collection

The measurement campaign entailed conducting investigations inside the train carriages of seven arranged routes in the Taipei MRT system during daytime (7:00 to 17:00) from June 20 to August 8, 2016. During each monitoring session inside the train carriages, data were collected from the first station to the last station and then back to the first station. For example, on BR, the sampling was conducted from Taipei Zoo Station (BR01) to Nangang Exhibition Center Station (BR24) and then transferring to another train at Nangang Exhibition Center Station (BR24) back to Taipei Zoo Station (BR01). In this study, the sampling processes were conducted in five to six round trips on each route. Except for BR, sampling was always performed on the third or fourth car of the train and near the car’s door to maintain a uniform sampling condition. For BR, sampling was conducted on the second or third car of the train.

In this study, a Grimm Series 1.108 Aerosol Spectrometer (Grimm Technologies, Inc., Douglasville, GA, USA) was used to measure the PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ levels and particle mass size distributions. The advantages of this instrument are lightweight, easy to operate, and good for time resolution. Moreover, this instrument also can provide good temporal resolution of particle mass size distribution. However, the response of the Grimm Aerosol Spectrometer may depend on the refractive index, and the shape, density and size of particles in different environments (Cheng, 2008; Cheng et al., 2011; Sousan et al., 2016). In previous studies, Cheng and Yan (2011) showed that PM levels measured by the Grimm Aerosol Spectrometer at outdoor environment did not significantly differ with those recorded by the ambient monitoring station in Taipei City. Additionally, Cheng (2008) demonstrated that PM levels measured by the
Grimm Aerosol Spectrometer were approximately 0.75 times those evaluated by a reference gravimetric method in an iron foundry. Considering that the refractive index, the shape, and density of the PMs in the tunnel environment are unlike that of the PMs in urban environment. In addition, the PMs in the underground tunnel contain with a high percentage of metallic iron (Querol et al., 2012; Cusack et al., 2015; Moreno et al., 2015). Therefore, data recorded by the Grimm Aerosol Spectrometer were post-calibrated with a factor of 1.3 and 1.0 inside train carriages on underground and ground-level routes, respectively, in this study. The measured size distributions were loaded into DistFit software (Chimera Tech., Inc., Forest Lake, MN, USA) to evaluate the $d_p$ and $\sigma_g$ of the log-normal distribution function. A TSI Model 3007 Condensation Particle Counter (TSI Inc., Shoreview, MN, USA) was used to measure the PN concentration. Additionally, a TSI Model 7565 Q-Trak (TSI Inc., Shoreview, MN, USA) with a 982 probe was used to measure the cabin air quality parameters, including CO$_2$ level, temperature, and relative humidity. In this study, the nondispersive infrared sensor of CO$_2$ was calibrated using zero gas and 3000 ppm CO$_2$ standard gas before each sampling to avoid the measurements of drifted CO$_2$ levels. All air samples were collected at approximately 1.5 m above the cabin floor, and the data logging interval for all measurements was set at 1 min. Each PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, and PN concentration inside the metro train carriage was estimated from one-way route average data. The mean, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum values for temperature, relative humidity, CO$_2$, PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, and PN are summarized in Table S1. An independent-samples $t$-test was conducted to evaluate differences in pollutant levels between the routes; a significance level of 0.05 was used for all statistical tests. The results of all independent-samples $t$-tests are summarized in Table S2.

Ambient hourly PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were obtained from the Taiwan
Air Quality Monitoring Network (TAQMN) at 13 monitoring stations distributed in the Taipei metropolitan area. Over the same period, average PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations from these 13 monitoring stations were considered as the corresponding outdoor PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ levels in the urban atmospheric environment in Taipei. Throughout the sampling period, the outdoor PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were 20.6–59.8 μg m$^{-3}$ (mean = 38.3 μg m$^{-3}$) and 7.1–37.2 μg m$^{-3}$ (mean = 18.4 μg m$^{-3}$), respectively. Furthermore, the outdoor temperature was 28.7–37.3 °C (mean = 32.4 °C) and relative humidity was 39.5–89.4% (mean = 64.6%).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Temperature, relative humidity, and CO$_2$ inside metro train carriages

Fig. 3 shows the temperature, relative humidity, and CO$_2$ levels inside the metro train carriages of the seven selected routes. During the entire sampling period, the temperature inside the metro train carriages was 22.8–29.9 °C (mean = 25.6 °C) and relative humidity was 46.9%–77.8% (mean = 66.8%). The temperature inside the metro train carriages was not significantly different among these metro lines (all $p \geq 0.075$); by contrast, the relative humidity was slightly different. The relative humidity inside the metro train carriages on G, O (A), O (B), and BL was significantly higher than that inside carriages on BR and R (G) (all $p \leq 0.008$). Additionally, the relative humidity was not significantly different among G, O (A), O (B), and BL (all $p \geq 0.096$). For R, the relative humidity was not significantly different between R (G) and R (UG) ($p = 0.520$), but the relative humidity on R (UG) was still approximately 3% higher than that on R (G). According to the measurement results, the variations in relative humidity on ground-level routes were more easily affected by ambient conditions, as compared with those on underground routes.
During the entire sampling period, the CO$_2$ levels inside metro train carriages were 668–1751 ppm (mean = 1019 ppm). The mean CO$_2$ level inside the metro train carriages was approximately two times higher than that in the outdoor environment and is close to the Taiwan EPA Indoor Air Quality Standard (1000 ppm for 8 h). No evidence exists that the CO$_2$ level inside metro train carriages on the underground routes is certainly higher than that on the ground-level routes. According to the measurement results, the highest mean CO$_2$ concentration was observed on R (UG), followed by R (G), BL, and O (B). Nevertheless, the CO$_2$ levels among these four routes were not significantly different (all $p \geq 0.277$). The variations in CO$_2$ inside the metro train carriages had the same trend for all routes. Overall, CO$_2$ buildup could be observed when the train reached the downtown area, because of an increased number of commuters; however, the CO$_2$ level dropped rapidly as the number of commuters decreased when the train was outbound. Recently, a similar result had been obtained by Xu et al. (2016). Additionally, the CO$_2$ level reached over 1000 ppm in the downtown area, which is higher than the Taiwan EPA Indoor Air Quality Standard. Previous studies have demonstrated that the CO$_2$ level inside metro train carriages was proportional to the ridership (Martins et al., 2015; Cheng et al., 2012; Park and Ha, 2008; Kam et al., 2011). Clearly, the CO$_2$ level inside metro train carriages was elevated by the exhalation of commuters and could have accumulated when air was recirculating without sufficient fresh air.

3.2. PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations inside metro train carriages

Fig. 4 shows the PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations inside the metro train carriages on the seven selected routes. The measurement results showed that PM$_{10}$ levels inside the metro train carriages were in the range of 2.9–134.5 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ (mean = 54.7 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$) during the entire sampling period. The highest mean PM$_{10}$ level was observed on O
(B), followed by O (A), G, BL, R (UG), BR, and R (G). On the underground routes, the PM$_{10}$ levels between O (B) and O (A) ($p = 0.245$) were not significantly different. However, the PM$_{10}$ level on O (B) was significantly higher than those on G, BL, and R (UG) (all $p \leq 0.015$). Furthermore, the PM$_{10}$ levels between O (A) and G ($p = 0.188$) were not significantly different. However, the PM$_{10}$ level on O (A) was significantly higher than those on BL and R (UG) (all $p \leq 0.001$). The PM$_{10}$ levels between BL and R (UG) ($p = 0.796$) were not significantly different. Nevertheless, the PM$_{10}$ level on R (UG) was significantly lower than those on O (B), O (A), and G (all $p \leq 0.006$). On the ground-level routes, the PM$_{10}$ levels were not significantly different between BR and R (G) ($p = 0.075$). Clearly, PM$_{10}$ levels inside metro train carriages on the underground routes were significantly higher than (all $p < 0.001$) and approximately 3.4–9.3 times those on the ground-level routes.

The measurement results also revealed that the PM$_{2.5}$ levels inside the metro train carriages were in the range of 1.5–112.4 µg m$^{-3}$ (mean = 47.4 µg m$^{-3}$) during the entire sampling period. The level distribution of PM$_{2.5}$ was similar to that of PM$_{10}$. The highest mean PM$_{2.5}$ level was observed on O (B), followed by O (A), G, BL, R (UG), BR, and R (G). On the underground routes, the PM$_{2.5}$ levels between O (B) and O (A) ($p = 0.274$) were not significantly different. However, the PM$_{2.5}$ level on O (B) was significantly higher than those on G, BL, and R (UG) (all $p \leq 0.022$). In addition, the PM$_{2.5}$ levels between O (A) and G ($p = 0.220$) were not significantly different. Nevertheless, the PM$_{2.5}$ level on O (A) was significantly higher than those on BL and R (UG) (all $p \leq 0.001$). The PM$_{2.5}$ levels between BL and R (UG) ($p = 0.445$) were not significantly different. However, the PM$_{2.5}$ level on R (UG) was significantly lower than those on O (B), O (A), and G (all $p \leq 0.003$). Unlike the PM$_{10}$ level on the ground-level routes, the PM$_{2.5}$ level on BR was significantly higher than that on R (G).
(p = 0.010). Clearly, the PM$_{2.5}$ levels inside metro train carriages on the underground routes were significantly higher than (all p < 0.001) those on the ground-level routes by approximately 3.1–10.2 times. Additionally, the PM$_{2.5}$ level on the underground routes reached over 35 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$, which is higher than the Taiwan EPA Indoor Air Quality Standard.

Despite the different metro routes, these findings suggest that the PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ levels inside metro train carriages were remarkably affected by the surrounding conditions of the trains, such as their journey in underground tunnels or on ground-level tracks. Kam et al. (2011) and Cheng et al. (2012) have also demonstrated the same results, revealing that PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ levels inside metro train carriages in underground environments were higher than those in above-ground environments, even for those measured on different metro lines.

3.3. Effect of outdoor ambient air conditions on PM levels inside metro train carriages

Fig. 5 shows the relationships between indoor and outdoor PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations on the ground-level and underground routes. According to the measurement results, the PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations inside metro train carriages on the ground-level routes exhibited a remarkable positive correlation with the outdoor PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, and they were approximately only 0.3 and 0.6 times the outdoor ambient PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, respectively, on average. However, the outdoor and indoor PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were less correlated on the underground routes, and the PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations inside metro train carriages on the underground routes were approximately 2.1 and 4.2 times the outdoor ambient PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, respectively, on average. Clearly, the PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations inside metro train carriages on the ground-level routes were directly affected by the outdoor ambient air conditions. However, these concentrations
on the underground routes were remarkably influenced by the underground tunnel conditions. The PM in the underground tunnels could have been generated from outdoor ambient air through the tunnel ventilation systems or internally generated by the train operations. The measurement results clearly demonstrate that the air quality inside the underground tunnels was worse than that of the outdoor ambient environment. PM can much more easily infiltrate metro train carriages when trains are traveling in isolated underground environments, due to the greater wind pressure in tunnel environments when compared with that in atmospheric environments. Therefore, the PM\(_{10}\) and PM\(_{2.5}\) levels inside metro train carriages on the underground routes could be aggravated when compared with those on ground-level routes. The measurement results also indicate that the filtration capacities of the metro train cars in the Taipei MRT system were not sufficient to remove PM effectively for trains traveling in underground environments.

3.4. PN inside metro train carriages

Fig. 6 shows the PN concentrations inside metro train carriages on the seven selected routes. The measurement results showed that the PN levels inside train carriages were in the range of \(6.6 \times 10^3\) to \(2.8 \times 10^4\) particles cm\(^{-3}\) (mean = \(1.3 \times 10^4\) particles cm\(^{-3}\)) during the entire sampling period. The highest mean PN level was observed on BR, followed by R (G), O (B), O (A), BL, R (UG), and G. Unlike PM, the PN levels on the ground-level routes were significantly higher than those on the underground routes (all \(p \leq 0.003\)). On the ground-level routes, the PN levels between BR and R (G) were not significantly different (\(p = 0.734\)). Moreover, the PN levels were not significantly different between O (B) and O (A) (\(p = 0.736\)) or among BL, R(UG), and G (all \(p \geq 0.056\)) on the underground routes. The PN levels on O (B) and O (A) were significantly higher than those on BL, R(UG), and G (all \(p \leq 0.021\)).
Seaton et al. (2005) reported that PN levels inside train carriages in the London underground were approximately 30%–60% lower than those in outdoor environments. Cheng et al. (2012) demonstrated that PN levels inside train carriages on underground and ground-level routes were approximately 0.24–0.31 and 0.33–0.37 times those in outdoor ambient environments, respectively. These measurement results indicate that the PN levels inside train carriages were also significantly affected by traveling environmental conditions—in underground tunnels or on ground-level tracks. Moreover, compared with the PM levels, the measurement results reveal that PM generated inside tunnels was fine and coarse, which could remarkably elevate the particle mass concentrations but exert less influence on the PN concentrations. Therefore, no remarkable emission source of ultrafine particles exists in underground tunnels. The origin of ultrafine particles inside tunnels is related to outdoor air pollutants from vehicle traffic on nearby streets, and these particles could be transported from outside through the tunnel ventilation systems.

3.5. Particle mass size distributions inside metro train carriages

Few previous studies have reported particle mass size distributions inside metro train carriages. Fig. 7 shows the particle mass size distributions inside metro train carriages in the Taipei MRT system. According to the measurement results, the lognormal particle mass size distributions inside metro train carriages exhibited a clear triple mode: an accumulation mode, a coarse mode, and a large coarse mode. However, the particle mass size distribution patterns between the ground-level and underground routes were considerably different. On the ground-level routes, the dominant mode was at approximately 0.3 μm, whereas the other two minor modes were at 2.6 and 10.4 μm, respectively. On the ground-level routes, this accumulation mode was similar to those in the outdoor ambient environment, suggesting that the
fine particles ($d_p < 1.0 \mu m$) inside metro train carriages were directly transferred from outdoor ambient air and associated with the surrounding conditions of the trains. Cheng and Yan (2011) revealed that particle mass size distributions exhibited a double-mode pattern in the outdoor environment, with the accumulation and coarse modes being at 0.3 and 3.5 $\mu m$, respectively. Compared with the measurement results of Cheng and Yan (2011), the coarse particles ($d_p \sim 1.0–6.0 \mu m$) and large coarse particles ($d_p > 6.0 \mu m$) inside metro train carriages on both the ground-level and underground routes were likely caused by train operations and indoor activities, respectively, and were less related to the outdoor ambient conditions. These coarse particles ($d_p \sim 1.0–6.0 \mu m$) were probably associated with train operations and transferred from outside of train cars. The particles generated through mechanical friction between wheel and rail, between wheel and brake pad, and between conductor rail and collector shoe could be in this size range (Jung et al., 2012; Şahin et al., 2012; Cusack et al., 2015). Additionally, the large coarse particles ($d_p > 6.0 \mu m$) inside metro train carriages could be attributed to particle resuspension engendered by the movement of commuters when boarding trains or alighting from them (Cheng et al., 2012). These resuspended particles from the cabin floor could have aggregated to form large particles. Nevertheless, these two modes, coarse and large coarse, were very minor when compared with the accumulation mode on BR. One possible reason is that coarse particles can be easily filtered out by the ventilation system of BR, because the train cars on BR are smaller than those on other routes (Fig. 2). Another reason is that the coarse particles generated from mechanical friction on BR are less than those on other routes because the train cars of BR use rubber tires. Cartení and Cascetta (2018) showed that the PM concentrations measured inside trains in a high-quality rubber-tyred metro system were significantly lower than those measured...
in traditional railway systems.

On the underground routes, the primary and secondary dominant modes were at approximately 0.5 and 2.1 μm, respectively, and the minor mode was at approximately 9.1 μm. Clearly, the accumulation mode of the particle mass size distributions on the underground routes was larger than that on the ground-level routes. These fine particles \( (d_p < 1.0 \, \mu\text{m}) \) might have originated from the outdoor environment and increased in size through particle coagulation inside underground tunnels. Additionally, a remarkable coarse mode could be observed on the underground routes when compared with the ground-level routes. As mentioned, these coarse \( (d_p \sim 1.0–6.0 \, \mu\text{m}) \) particles were probably associated with train operations, and they accounted for approximately 18%–30% of the total particle mass on the underground routes. Unlike the ground-level routes, these coarse particles generated by train operations in underground tunnels were not easily dispersed.

3.6. Real-time variations in PM\(_{10}\), PM\(_{2.5}\), and PN concentrations and particle mass size distributions inside metro train carriages

Fig. 8 shows the variation trends of PM\(_{10}\), PM\(_{2.5}\), and PN concentrations and particle mass size distributions inside metro train carriages on BR for both travel directions. The curves were obtained for averaged data of five to six one-way trips. Except for the route between Airport Station (BR13) and Dazhi Station (BR14), the trains ran on ground level. The PM\(_{10}\) and PM\(_{2.5}\) levels inside metro train carriages on BR were relatively stable throughout the travel time when compared with other routes. In addition, the particle mass size distributions did not change remarkably. However, the PN levels varied significantly due to the change in outdoor ambient conditions. An increase in the PN level could be observed when the train reached the city center area, because of the presence of a larger traffic volume when compared with that outside...
the city center; by contrast, the PN level decreased when the train was outbound. Clearly, the PN levels inside metro train carriages decreased when the train ran in the underground section. When the train ran out from the underground section, the PN levels inside metro train carriages increased. Nevertheless, the difference in the variation trend of PN levels was remarkably affected by the train travel direction. In the underground section, the PN levels decreased slightly at the direction from suburb to city center and decreased significantly at the opposite direction from city center to suburb. This difference depended on the outdoor ambient PN levels before train running into the underground section. Therefore, the PN levels inside metro train carriages were more sensitive to the outdoor ambient conditions when compared with the PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ levels on the ground-level routes. Moreover, measurement results also showed that the PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ levels inside metro train carriages could be elevated when the train ran in the underground section, but the elevated levels was not obvious comparing with R Line. A possible reason is that the length of the underground section could influence this result. In this study, the underground section of BR is only approximately 3.1 km and the R is roughly 10.1 km.

Fig. 9 shows the variation trends of PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, and PN concentrations and particle mass size distributions inside metro train carriages on R for both travel directions. R is the only route among these heavy-capacity metro lines in the Taipei MRT system that has both underground and ground-level sections. According to the measurement results, the PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ levels decreased and the PN level increased after the train ran out of the underground section. In the opposite travel direction, an inverted trend was observed for the PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, and PN levels after the train ran into the underground section. The highest PN level could be observed at Tamsui Station (R28) when the train departed from this station to Xiangshan Station (R02). A
possible reason is that the ultrafine particles outside the train transferred into the train carriages when the train stopped on the platform and the train doors were opened for commuters, who boarded the train with an interval of approximately 2–4 min before the train departed. Clearly, the PM\textsubscript{10} and PM\textsubscript{2.5} levels observed inside the metro train carriages were higher in the underground section than in the ground-level section. Moreover, the PN level observed inside the metro train carriages was lower in the underground section than in the ground-level section. The variations in particle mass size distributions were also affected by the travel environments (in underground tunnels or on ground-level tracks) and directions. Carteni et al. (2015) reported similar trends of PM\textsubscript{10} and PM\textsubscript{2.5} levels inside metro train carriages when trains were traveling between ground-level and underground sections.

Fig. 10 shows the variation trends of PM\textsubscript{10}, PM\textsubscript{2.5}, and PN concentrations and particle mass size distributions inside the metro train carriages on O for both travel directions. O currently runs on two separate routes: O (A) and O (B). On these routes, the train runs on the same section between Nanshijiao Station (O01) and Daqiaotou Station (O12). Despite the different travel directions, the PM\textsubscript{10} and PM\textsubscript{2.5} levels inside the metro train carriages showed similar variation trends on both O (A) and O (B), especially between Nanshijiao Station (O01) and Daqiaotou Station (O12). For the direction from Nanshijiao Station (O01) to Daqiaotou Station (O12), the highest PM\textsubscript{10} and PM\textsubscript{2.5} levels could usually be observed close to Guting Station (O05). However, the highest PM\textsubscript{10} and PM\textsubscript{2.5} levels could be observed at around Yongan Market Station (O03) in the opposite travel direction from Daqiaotou Station (O12) to Nanshijiao Station (O01). Limited by the space available in underground, the trains run on different floor tracks between Nanshijiao Station (O01) and Dongmen Station (O06) in different travel directions. That is why the highest PM levels were observed at
different locations on O in different travel directions. Furthermore, the lowest PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ levels always occurred at around the start station. These measurement results indicate that the variations in PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ levels inside the metro train carriages on O (A) and O (B) were related to the surrounding conditions of the trains, such as their journey in different travel directions. Compared with the PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ levels, the PN level showed less variation. The variations in the particle mass size distributions inside metro train carriages were also associated with change in air quality conditions in the underground tunnel. Two remarkable modes were observed in the mass size distribution patterns: approximately 0.5 and 2.1 μm.

The variation trends of PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, and PN concentrations and particle mass size distributions inside the metro train carriages on G and BL are shown in Fig. S1 and S2, respectively, indicating that they are similar to those on O (A) and O (B). The variation trends of PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, and PN concentrations inside the metro train carriages were irregular and related to the surrounding conditions of the trains, such as their journey, the design of the tunnel and station, and in different travel directions, where the PM levels on the platforms along the whole metro line were different.

4. Conclusions

This study provides information on PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, PN, and CO$_2$ concentrations and particle mass size distributions inside metro train carriages on seven selected routes in the Taipei MRT system. The CO$_2$ level inside the metro train carriages was observed to be associated with ridership. Increased air change rates inside metro train cars might reduce the exposure to CO$_2$ at rush hours. Additionally, the PM levels and particle mass size distributions inside the metro train carriages were remarkably affected by the surrounding conditions of the trains, such as their journey in underground tunnels, on ground-level tracks, and in different travel directions. The
PM levels inside the metro train carriages running in the underground tunnels were significantly higher than those on the ground-level tracks by approximately 3–10 times. The PM levels inside the metro train carriages on the ground-level routes were associated with the outdoor ambient air conditions. However, the PM levels inside the metro train carriages on the underground routes could be remarkably influenced by the underground tunnel conditions. Moreover, the variation trends of PM levels inside the metro train carriages were dependent on the trip direction. The PM$_{2.5}$ level on the underground routes reached over 35 μg m$^{-3}$. Therefore, a higher-efficiency filter should be equipped in the train cars to protect commuters. Unlike PM, the PN level inside the metro train carriages on the underground routes was significantly lower than that on the ground-level routes. In both environments, the PN level inside the metro train carriages was usually lower than that in outdoor ambient air. The worse indoor air quality inside metro train carriages is directly induced by the poor tunnel condition when metro trains are traveling in underground tunnels. Therefore, improving the air quality of the underground tunnels is another critical issue for the Taipei MRT authority. Furthermore, the particle mass size distributions inside the metro train carriages exhibited a clear triple-mode pattern. However, the particle mass size distribution patterns between the ground-level and underground routes were considerably different due to their different potential sources of particles outside the trains.
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Table 1 Features of selected routes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Traveling Time (min)</th>
<th>Length (km)</th>
<th>Number of stations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ground-level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BR</td>
<td>Taipei Zoo (BR1)–Nangang Exhibition Center (BR24)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (G)</td>
<td>Yuanshan (R14)–Tamsui (R28)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Underground</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (UG)</td>
<td>Xiangshan (R2)–Minquan W.Rd (R13)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Xindian (G1)–Songshan (G19)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O (A)</td>
<td>Nanshiijiao (O1)–Huilong (O21)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O (B)</td>
<td>Nanshiijiao (O1)–Luzhou (O54)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL</td>
<td>Dingpu (BL1)–Nangang Exhibition Center (BL23)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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