Aerosol Pollution Characterization before Chinese New Year in Zhengzhou in 2014
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ABSTRACT

Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy is a useful and nondestructive method for measuring the current atmospheric concentrations of inorganic compounds (sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium) and has been extensively used for environmental monitoring since the 1980s. In this study, we used FTIR spectroscopy to measure the inorganic compounds in particulate matter with a diameter of less than 2.5 µm and combined the data of gaseous pollutants (NO2 and SO2) to analyze the inorganic compounds in PM2.5 from January 24 to January 31, 2014, in Zhengzhou. The measurement period was divided into three pollution stages. During Stage 1 (January 24–26), the low-pollution stage, wind from the east of Zhengzhou caused the pollutants to rapidly disperse and the haze to clear. During Stage 2 (January 26 to the noon of January 30), transported emissions were the main contributor to the high sulfate concentration, as indicated by the poor correlation between the sulfur oxidation ratio (SOR) and the SO42– concentration (R2 = 0.45). Nitrate was formed through homogeneous gas-phase reactions of NO2 with OH or O3, resulting in HNO3 in the PM2.5, as indicated by the good correlation between the nitrogen oxidation ratio (NOR) and the NO3– concentration (R2 = 0.91). During Stage 3 (the afternoon of January 30 to January 31), the average concentration of the PM2.5 increased from approximately 140 µg m–3 to 260 µg m–3, and the concentrations of sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium decreased from 37.62 µg m–3, 56.63 µg m–3, and 34.63 µg m–3 to 32.14 µg m–3, 31.14 µg m–3, and 26.35 µg m–3, respectively. The high levels of PM2.5 during this stage may have been caused primarily by the hygroscopic growth of particles.
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INTRODUCTION

Particulate pollution is globally recognized as a pertinent issue due to its adverse effects on health, air quality, regional visibility, and climate change (Pope and Dockery, 2006; Tai et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2015). China has experienced severe air pollution in the past two decades (Tie and Cao, 2009). Moreover, in recent years, aerosol concentrations across China have been at extremely high levels (Chang et al., 2017). According to reports, annual PM2.5 concentrations are up to six times higher than the national air quality standard in some megacities in northern China (Tao et al., 2017). Currently, an increasing number of studies have investigated the sources and formation mechanisms of aerosols, with the aims to decrease regional air pollution (Sun et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2017) and to resolve these environmental issues to protect human health.

Determining the characteristics and sources of particulate matter with a diameter of less than 2.5 µm (PM2.5) is of vital concern for controlling air pollution. Previous studies have indicated that PM2.5 is formed from primary fine particles and through secondary formation from gaseous precursors. Currently, studies are being conducted to ascertain the characteristics of PM2.5 to identify the pollution source. The pollution sources are regional transport and local production. Regional transport, in particular, has been one of the most crucial factors contributing to heavy haze pollution in China (Chan and Yao, 2008; Xiao et al., 2011; Liao et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014). The majority of locally produced pollution has been identified as a result of traffic exhaust, fossil aerosol, secondary aerosol, dust, and other sources (Tian et al., 2016; Hang et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018).
of PM2.5 during the LNY (Lunar New Year) was 775 µg m–3, in 10 cities worldwide in terms of PM2.5 concentrations. Wang Greenpeace rankings in 2013, Zhengzhou is one of the top suffered from haze pollution since 2013, According to transportation, communication, and energy, Zhengzhou has environment and climate. Due to its key position in national high levels of particles, which have a negative effect on the developing region, and as a result, it is heavily polluted with pollutants (SO2, NOx, etc.) and fine particles comprising organic and elemental carbon, sulfate, potassium, chloride, and various metals, which can cause health risks. Therefore, currently, an increasing number of studies have been conducted on pollution and fireworks (Wang et al., 2007; Jiang et al., 2015; Kong et al., 2015; Tian et al., 2014). During the Diwali festival in India, Ba, K, Al, and Sr levels were 1091, 25, 1, and 15 times higher than previous levels (Godri et al., 2010). Wang et al. (2007) revealed that more than 90% of the total mineral aerosol and 98% of Pb, 43% of total carbon, 28% of Zn, and 8% of NO3 in PM2.5 were from firework burning on the night of the Lantern Festival in 2006 in Beijing. Tian et al. (2014) reported that fireworks contributed to 29.66% of PM2.5 during the heavy-firework period and 7.18% during the light-firework period with PMF (Positive Matrix Factor) analysis. Kong et al. (2015) indicated that the intensive emission of firework particles on New Year’s Eve accounted for 60.1% of PM2.5 in Nanjing in 2014. Jiang et al. (2015) found that the peak concentration of PM2.5 during the LNY (Lunar New Year) was 775 µg m–3, which is more than ten times higher than the Chinese National Ambient Air Quality Standard.

Zhengzhou is the capital of Henan Province, which is a developing region, and as a result, it is heavily polluted with high levels of particles, which have a negative effect on the environment and climate. Due to its key position in national transportation, communication, and energy, Zhengzhou has suffered from haze pollution since 2013. According to Greenpeace rankings in 2013, Zhengzhou is one of the top 10 cities worldwide in terms of PM2.5 concentrations. Wang et al. (2016) studied secondary PM2.5 in Zhengzhou and revealed that ammonium sulfate and ammonium nitrate were the main factors contributing to poor visibility in Zhengzhou. Wang et al. (2017) examined the characteristics and origins of air pollution in 2013 and revealed that regional transport made a considerable contribution to PM2.5 pollution based on observations and hybrid receptor models. Wang et al. (2018) demonstrated heavy atmospheric pollution in winter in Zhengzhou, with pollution episodes due to transportation. Geng et al. (2013) studied the chemical composition and source apportionment of PM2.5 in Zhengzhou in 2010. They revealed that soluble ions, total carbon, and elements contributed 41%, 13%, and 3% of PM2.5 mass, respectively, and they revealed soil dust, secondary aerosols, and coal combustion were the three major sources which contributed 26%, 24%, and 23% of the PM2.5 concentration, respectively. In 2015 the Department of Environmental Protection of Henan Province published the results of PM2.5 source apportionments, which indicated that dust was the largest source of PM2.5 in the urban area of Zhengzhou, with a contribution of 24.5%, and industry, coal, and vehicle emissions were also contributing factors. Jiang et al. (2017) also studied the chemical composition characteristics of PM2.5 in Luoyang, Zhengzhou, and Pingdingshan from October 2014 to July 2015; they indicated that coal-fired sources and vehicles were two main contributors to air pollution.

The aforementioned studies have provided some results related to air pollution from fireworks in big cities in the world, particularly in China. However, for Zhengzhou, few studies have examined pollution from fireworks and how they affect air pollution under complex meteorological conditions.

In this study, a Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer using an attenuated total reflectance (ATR), along with the collection instrument, was deployed in Hefei to analyze the samples which were collected in Zhengzhou during January 24–31. The inorganic composition of PM2.5 was quantified based on the absorption peaks. The pollution characteristics of PM2.5 were analyzed to determine the different factors influencing air pollution during three stages (according to the pollution characteristics and the meteorological condition, the measurement period was divided into three stages). The concentrations of SO2 and NO2 were combined to study the formation mechanism of aerosols. The effects of fireworks and transport-related emissions on the environment were also investigated using back trajectories to determine the transportation source. These findings will benefit government environmental agencies and will provide some clues to the Chinese government about management strategies for restricting the time of setting off fireworks.

**METHODS**

**Sampling Site and Measurement Site**

PM2.5 aerosols (every 4 hours) were collected on 3 cm × 3 cm glass fiber filters at 16.7 L min–1 in a volume sampler equipped with a PM2.5 inlet from January 23 to January 31 in 2014. The sampling site is situated on the roof of a six-story building of the Department of Environmental Protection of Henan Province located in the middle of Zhengbian Road, Jinshui District, Zhengzhou City (113.42°E, 34.44°N). This study was conducted in winter and just before Chinese New Year. Haze, as usual, was more severe during this period. Fig. 1 provides the location of the collection site.

The measurement site is located at Key Laboratory of Environmental Optics and Technology, Anhui Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, which is located in the northwest section of Hefei city (117.17°E, 31.52°N), Anhui Province. All of the samples were transported to the measurement site and were analyzed.

**FTIR Analysis**

In this experiment, all of the samples were analyzed using Fourier-transform infrared spectrometer (Tensor 27) with an attenuated total reflectance accessory (ATR-FTIR) and MCT detector. The spectra were collected by averaging 64 scan times over the mid-infrared wave number from 400 to 5000 cm–1. And quantify the spectral signals to obtain the mass of the sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium ions after baseline correction and zeroing using non-linear least square method. Quantitative analysis of the filter surface
Fig. 1. The location of the collection site.

was performed directly without any treatment of the filter. The sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium ions were identified and quantified based on Table 1 (Reff et al., 2005).

Air Mass Trajectories
Twenty-four-hour air mass back trajectories arriving at Zhengzhou were calculated every 4 hours over the study period by using the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) 4.8 model and the meteorological input from the Air Resources Laboratory FNL data (Final Analysis) archive. These data were used to study the transport processes of air pollution and trace pollution sources and the routes of transport. Zhengzhou was the ending location. The ending height of 500 m was chosen to investigate the influence of long-range transport as it is likely independent of the local wind patterns caused by the structure of the building.

Meteorological Parameters and Air Quality Data
The temperature, humidity, and wind speed were downloaded from Weather Underground (https://www.wunderground.com/); AQI, SO2, NO2, and PM2.5 concentrations in Zhengzhou were collected from China National Environmental Monitoring Centre http://106.37.208.233:20035/). The data were published by the China National Environmental Monitoring Centre.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Spectral Characteristics of Inorganic Ions in Aerosols
PM2.5 samples were collected on filters, then analyzed using an ATR-FTIR spectrometer. The wave numbers of samples spectra were from 600 to 5000 cm\(^{-1}\) with a resolution of 4 cm\(^{-1}\). The ATR-FTIR spectral characteristics of one sample are displayed in Fig. 2. In this analysis, we mainly focused on the spectrum of inorganic compounds (sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium ions), as many researchers have reported that inorganic species constitute a considerable part of PM2.5, and that sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium ions are the major ionic components of PM2.5 (He et al., 2001; Lin, 2002; Bardouki et al., 2003; Mouli et al., 2003).

Characteristic spectrum peaks of different inorganic compounds are required to calculate their concentration. For nitrate, the symmetric stretching band appears at approximately 1045 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(\nu_1\); Raman activity), the symmetric bending band at about 825 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(\nu_2\); infrared activity), the asymmetric stretching band at approximately 1370 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(\nu_3\); infrared activity and Raman activity), and the asymmetric bending band at approximately 723 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(\nu_4\); infrared activity and Raman activity) (Liu et al., 2005). At the same time, the vs (NO\(_3^-\)) mode of pure NH\(_4\)NO\(_3\) salt appears at 828 cm\(^{-1}\). Liu et al. (2005) reported that a symmetric bending band of NO\(_3^-\) in the spectrum of aqueous NH\(_4\)NO\(_3\) is located at 830 cm\(^{-1}\) and the mode is infrared active. Their results are comparable with those of the present study, as 825 and 1321 cm\(^{-1}\) are the symmetric bending band and asymmetric stretching band of nitrate, respectively. Because the peak of 825 cm\(^{-1}\) was not disturbed by other peaks, it was chosen to quantify the nitrate concentration in this study.

For sulfate, the strong peak observed at 1074 cm\(^{-1}\) in the “regular” spectrum, as shown in Fig. 2, and the shoulder located at approximately 1044 cm\(^{-1}\) in the “sandy” spectrum were assigned to the \(\nu_3\) asymmetrical stretching vibration of free sulfate ions in the solution (Hug, 1997; Peak et al., 1999). Vibrational frequencies of ammonium ions at 1413, 3045, and 3215 cm\(^{-1}\) were confirmed by the reported frequencies at approximately 1400 and 3200 cm\(^{-1}\) (Allen et al., 1994; Bishop et al., 2002; Maria et al., 2002). N-H bond absorbance bands at approximately 3210 and 3080 cm\(^{-1}\) were observed in the amino acid and amine spectra (from NH\(_2\)) as well as in the ammonium sulfate and nitrate spectra (from NH\(_4^+\)) (Coury and Dillner, 2008), and these were considered the vs (NH\(_4^+\)) and \(\nu_3\) (NH\(_4^+\)) modes, respectively (Kadir et al., 2011). The observed peak of ammonium was 1412 cm\(^{-1}\). In this study, we also used the 1412 cm\(^{-1}\) to quantify the ammonium concentration.
Table 1. ATR—absorption frequencies and vibrational modes of observed species on PM$_{2.5}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavenumber (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Vibration mode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>825</td>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>$\nu_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1044</td>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>$n_{\text{as}}$ (SO$_4$)$^-$ $\nu_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1074</td>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>$n_{\text{as}}$ (SO$_4$)$^-$ $\nu_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1182</td>
<td>Hydrogen sulfate</td>
<td>$C_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1321</td>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>$v_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1412</td>
<td>Ammonium</td>
<td>NH$_4^+$ deformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3033</td>
<td>Ammonium</td>
<td>$v_2 + v_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3186</td>
<td>Ammonium</td>
<td>$v_5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Steven et al., 2002) because this peak was strong and only overlapped with the 1321 cm$^{-1}$, while the peak of 3200 cm$^{-1}$ was disturbed by C-H peaks (about 2920 cm$^{-1}$ and 2850 cm$^{-1}$) that would increase error (Liu et al., 2015). Tsai and Kuo (2006) described that bisulfate ions produce absorption peaks at 1182 cm$^{-1}$. However, this peak was quite weak and was therefore not used for quantitative analyses in this study. Instead, through a simple procedure described in previous studies, quantitative analysis of the filter surface was performed directly without any treatment method.

Daily Variation of Inorganic Ions in Aerosols

To determine the air quality in Zhengzhou City during the measurement period, we downloaded the AQI data from China National Environmental Monitoring Center as depicted in Table 2. According to the Chinese Ambient Air Quality Standard designated by the Chinese Ministry of Environmental Protection (CMEP), air quality can be divided into six different levels based on different AQIs. Table 2 demonstrates that good air quality (moderate) was found only on January 26, and light pollution (unhealthy for sensitive groups) was observed on January 27 and 28. Moreover, moderate pollution (moderate haze) and heavy pollution (very unhealthy) occurred on January 25 and 29 and on January 24 and 30, respectively, and severe pollution (hazardous) was detected on January 31.

Fig. 3 presents the daily variation of PM$_{2.5}$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$, and AQI during the measurement period. The AQI accurately measures PM$_{2.5}$, and both AQI and PM$_{2.5}$ exhibited the lowest value (69 µg m$^{-3}$) on January 26 and the highest value (314 µg m$^{-3}$ vs. 209 µg m$^{-3}$) on January 31, showing that PM$_{2.5}$ is the primary pollutant. The highest values of both pollutants were four times higher than the lowest value, which confirms the severity of this pollution. However, for NO$_2$ and SO$_2$, two time trends were observed against the variation of AQI: Before January 26, NO$_2$ and SO$_2$ decreased with a decrease in AQI; however, after January 26, with an increase in AQI, no significant increases were observed in NO$_2$ and SO$_2$. Moreover, on January 31, when the AQI was highest (314), the concentrations of NO$_2$ and SO$_2$ were at their lowest levels (42 and 27 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively), which was lower than the value of NO$_2$ and SO$_2$ (43 and 53 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively) on the clean day (January 26).

Using the characteristic peaks of inorganic ions measured in the FTIR spectrum, we obtained the trends of inorganic ions (sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium) during the measurement period, as displayed in Fig. 4. Compared with the trend of inorganic ions (sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium) with the daily variation of PM$_{2.5}$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$, and AQI, it was revealed that before January 26, inorganic ion concentrations followed the variations in PM$_{2.5}$; however, after January 26, inorganic ion concentrations reached a peak on Jan 30 and then decreased on January 31. This trend was similar to that for NO$_2$ and SO$_2$. Different trends are considered to be related to the different formation mechanisms of aerosols. Based on the different trends exhibited by species during different periods, we classified the pollution process into three stages. Stage 1 was from January 24 to January 26, in which inorganic ions, pollutant gases, and PM$_{2.5}$ followed the same decreasing trends; Stage 2 was from January 26 to the noon of January 30, in which inorganic ions followed PM$_{2.5}$, but pollutant gases did not vary substantially; and Stage 3 was from January 30 to January 31, in which inorganic ions followed the decreasing trend of pollutant gases, while PM$_{2.5}$ maintained an increasing trend.

Stage 1 (January 24–26)

Fig. 3 showed that the concentration of PM$_{2.5}$, AQI, SO$_2$, NO$_2$, and SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$, and NH$_4^+$ decreased from January 24 to January 26. PM$_{2.5}$, AQI, SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$, and NH$_4^+$ exhibited the lowest value (69 µg m$^{-3}$, 50.14 µg m$^{-3}$, 14.1 µg m$^{-3}$, and 8.0 µg m$^{-3}$) on January 26.

The formation of sulfate is complicated, and it was divided into homogeneous process and heterogeneous reaction. For homogeneous process, it included gas-phase
Table 2. Air quality in Zhengzhou during the measurement period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>AQI</th>
<th>PM$_{2.5}$ (µg m$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>Air quality level (CMEP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014/1/24</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>Heavily Polluted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/1/25</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>Moderately Polluted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/1/26</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/1/27</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Lightly Polluted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/1/28</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>Lightly Polluted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/1/29</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>Moderately Polluted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/1/30</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>Heavily Polluted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/1/31</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>Severely Polluted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Daily variability of PM$_{2.5}$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$, NO$_3^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$, and NH$_4^+$ concentrations and AQI.

Just like the sulfate, nitrate also can form from NO$_2$ through homogeneous reactions between HNO$_3$ and NH$_3$ or heterogeneous reaction of nitrogen species (HNO$_3$, NO, N$_2$O$_5$, etc.). The gas-to-particle partitioning of NH$_4$NO$_3$ is mainly ruled by RH and temperature. Fig. 5 showed the
nitrate correlated well with NO\textsubscript{2} (R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.638), suggesting that the nitrate concentration was mainly affected by NO\textsubscript{2}, and the nitrate might form through homogeneous reactions of NO\textsubscript{2} with O\textsubscript{3} or OH to form HNO\textsubscript{3} in the gas phase during daytime, while forming through heterogeneous reactions during the night due to the meteorology condition, which then reacts with NH\textsubscript{3} to form NH\textsubscript{4}NO\textsubscript{3} through the gas-to-particle partition (Wang et al., 2007). This assumption also supports the relationship between nitration and humidity, and the nitrates increased with the humidity.

Stage 2 (January 26 to the Noon of January 30)

From January 26 to 10:00 a.m. on January 29, the concentration of PM\textsubscript{2.5}, NO\textsubscript{2}, SO\textsubscript{2}, SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2–}, NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{–}, and NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} did not significantly increase. Fig. 7 displays the 4-hour averaged time variations of PM\textsubscript{2.5} mass concentration, ion concentration, SO\textsubscript{2} and NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations, and meteorological conditions during the entire measurement period. The ion concentration (sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium) sharply increased, and they increased by 1.86–, 1.66–, and 1.39-fold on average, changing from 19.77, 32.16, and 20.73 µg m\textsuperscript{-3} to 56.54, 85.62, and 49.54 µg m\textsuperscript{-3}, respectively, from 10:00 a.m. on January 29 to 10:00 a.m. on January 30 during Stage 2. In this stage, the PM\textsubscript{2.5} mass changed marginally from 132.7 µg m\textsuperscript{-3} to 144.3 µg m\textsuperscript{-3}. During this time, the RH was only approximately 40–60%, and the temperature was approximately 30–50°F. Therefore, the hygroscopic growth of particles was not the main reason for the increased particle levels in Stage 2. Moreover, the concentrations of ions were higher than those in two massive firework burning events (Section “Stage 3 (from the afternoon of January 30 to January 31)”; thus, fireworks were also not the main reason.

The sulfur oxidation ratio (SOR, SOR = n-SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2–}/(n-SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2–} + n-SO\textsubscript{2})) and the nitrogen oxidation ratio (NOR, NOR = n-NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{–}/(n-NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{–} + n-NO\textsubscript{2})) are the main parameters. They can be used as indicators of the secondary formation. According to Seinfeld (1986), the gas-phase oxidation of SO\textsubscript{2} to SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2–} by an OH radical is a strong function of temperature. Fig. 8 indicates that the SOR was correlated with SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2–} (R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.45), suggesting the formation of sulfate in Stage 2 could not be mainly from gas-phase oxidation and the heterogeneous process. Thus, sulfur oxidation might not be the main process. Therefore, transportation may be the other reason for the increasing trend.

A pronounced increase in the concentration of nitrate was observed. Moreover, daily cycles were found for this concentration, with peak concentration in the morning, followed by decreases in the afternoon, which is similar to
Fig. 7. Time series of meteorological parameters and pollutant concentration measured during measurement period.

Stage 3 (from the Afternoon of January 30 to January 31)

In the measurement period, Chinese New Year occurred on January 31. A different trend was found for pollution on January 30 and January 31. On Chinese New Year’s Eve, two massive firework burning events occur almost in the evening (at approximately 5:00–8:00 p.m., for the family reunion dinner), and at midnight (around 12:00–3:00 a.m., to celebrate the New Year). Fig. 7 reveals that the firework event had an obvious effect on fine particle concentrations. PM₂.₅ exhibited its maximum concentration for those two episodes, which was 258 µg m⁻³ at 8:00 p.m. on January 30 and 263 µg m⁻³ at 3:00 a.m. on January 31. The average concentration of PM₂.₅ increased 0.8-fold, changing from approximately 140 µg m⁻³ to 260 µg m⁻³. The concentrations of sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium were high from 6:00 p.m. on January 30 to 6:00 a.m. on January 31. The concentrations of sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium were 37.62 µg m⁻³, 56.63 µg m⁻³, and 34.63 µg m⁻³ at 6:00 p.m. on January 30, they were 32.14 µg m⁻³, 31.14 µg m⁻³, and 26.35 µg m⁻³.
at 2:00 a.m. on January 31, and they were 48.86 µg m\textsuperscript{-3}, 25.49 µg m\textsuperscript{-3}, and 32.09 µg m\textsuperscript{-3} at 6:00 a.m. on January 31, respectively. Fig. 7 suggests that the PM\textsubscript{2.5} mass fraction was very high, but the ion (sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium) mass fractions were lower during the firework events. Therefore, one reason is that the mass fractions of the carbon compounds, Cl, and K were very high (Wang et al., 2007), and the other reason may be the hygroscopic growth of particles during this period. The RH was approximately 66% at 5:00 p.m., and it increased to 90% at 8:00 p.m. (it began to rain), and this level lasted until 6:00 a.m. on January 31. The concentrations of sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium began to decrease during this measurement period and may have been a result of aerosol wet scavenging (Sun et al., 2011). Zhang et al. (2015) also revealed that the burning of fireworks emits a large fraction of aerosol particles in the size range of 1–2.5 µm, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) play a role in the aerosol phase during firework displays. Wang et al. (2007) showed the ions (sulfate, nitrate, ammonium) would increase in the firework times. However, compared with that in Stage 2, the concentrations of ions in the two massive firework burning events were lower, which means that aerosol wet scavenging had a greater effect on ions than did fireworks during this period, while the hygroscopic growth of particles maybe affected the concentration of PM\textsubscript{2.5} during this period.

The fireworks could be one factor for the pollution during the firework events (Wang et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2015). But in our paper, aerosol wet scavenging and the hygroscopic growth of particles had a great effect on pollution.

**Cation and Anion Balance**

To determine the reasons for the formation of pollution in different stages, we also studied the cation and anion balance in PM\textsubscript{2.5}.

The calculations of the equivalence of cations and anions are obtained through the following equations:

\[
\text{Cation equivalence} = \frac{\text{NH}_4^+}{18.04} \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{Anion equivalence} = \frac{\text{NO}_3^-/62.005 + 2 \times \text{SO}_4^{2-}/96.06}{96.06} \quad (2)
\]

Fig. 9 reveals a high correlation between the calculated equivalence of cations and anions, with $R^2 > 0.94$. This finding indicates that NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} exists in the air with ammonium sulfate and ammonium nitrate, and the slope indicates that the air was neutralized during the measurement period in Zhengzhou.

During the measurement period (January 24–31), the nitrate to sulfate ratios were approximately 1–2, which indicated that nitrate was more likely to be formed than sulfate. This phenomenon is similar to that observed in particle studies in Taiwan and Beijing. The most abundant anions of PM\textsubscript{2.5} were Cl\textsuperscript{-}, nitrate (avg.: 5.49), and sulfate (avg.: 2.03). Tsai et al. (2012) discovered that the mass fraction of nitrate was higher than sulfate during Taiwan’s Lantern Festival, and Jiang et al. (2015) reported that nitrate levels increased faster than sulfate levels during a firework display in Beijing.

The mass ratio of nitrate to sulfate has been employed as an indicator of the relative importance of stationary versus mobile sources of sulfur and nitrogen in the atmosphere (Arimoto et al., 1996; Yoo et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2006). In China, the ratios are different in cities in different seasons. Lai et al. (2007) reported a ratio of 0.36–0.68 in PM\textsubscript{2.5} at eight sites in winter. Fig. 10 displays the time series of the mass ratio of nitrate to sulfate. In this study, nitrate to sulfate ratios were in the range of 0.52–1.72, with a mean of 1.29. These ratios were much higher than that in Beijing (0.71) and Shanghai (0.64) (Wang et al., 2005). Excluding the firework period (10:00 p.m. on January 30 to 6:00 p.m. on January 31), nitrate to sulfate ratios were in the range of 0.77–1.72, with a mean of 1.33, which were still higher than that in Beijing. During the heavy firework period, the ratios decreased rapidly from approximately 1.6 to 0.6, which is similar to that reported by Tian et al. (2014), who revealed that the mass ratios of nitrate to sulfate during the heavy firework period were lower than those during the light firework period. This suggests that although stationary sources, such as power plants and other industrial sources of emission, are crucial contributors, mobile sources of emissions should not be neglected in winter in Zhengzhou.

**Source of Pollution**

For Stage 1, Fig. 11 reveals that the wind from the east of Zhengzhou was clear air, which resulted in the rapid dispersal of pollutants and the clearing of haze. Duo (2016) studied the transportation of Zhengzhou and also revealed the wind from the east could decrease the concentration of the pollution.

For Stage 2, transport was one of the main sources of pollution, in particular from 10:00 p.m. (14:00 UTC) on January 29 to 2:00 p.m. (06:00 UTC) on January 30, when the concentrations of sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, SO\textsubscript{2}, and NO\textsubscript{2} increased rapidly. To study the regional transport of PM\textsubscript{2.5} from neighboring sources, air mass trajectories were downloaded from ARL’s website (https://ready.arl.noaa.gov/hypub-bin/trajtype.pl) to study the sources of pollution. During the measurement period, the air mass trajectories revealed that air mass at 500 m was mainly from the northwest, and the pollutant concentration increased. The air mass originated from the northwest and traveled across Shanxi Province and Shaanxi Province, and might contain a large amount of pollutants from the northwestern polluted areas. Consequently, pollutant concentrations may have increased. Huang et al. (2017) also revealed that PM\textsubscript{2.5} concentrations in Shijiazhuang, which originated from Inner Mongolia and passed over Shanxi Province to arrive at the sampling location, resulted in the highest PM\textsubscript{2.5} value (178.9 µg m\textsuperscript{-3}). Fig. 6 showed the ground wind speed was about 5–7 km h\textsuperscript{-1}, which came from the northwest from 10:00 p.m. on January 29 to 2:00 a.m. on January 30. The pollutants increased. And then the wind speed began to decrease to 0–4 km h\textsuperscript{-1} which made the conditions began...
to assemble. The wind increased to about 7–9 km h⁻¹, the
to assemble. The wind increased to about 7–9 km h⁻¹, the
region transport from 10:00 a.m. on January 30 to 2:00 p.m.
(6:00 a.m. UTC) on January 30.

For Stage 3, pollution particles dispersed only after
6:00 p.m. (10:00 a.m. UTC) on January 30, when the wind
direction changed from northwest to south and the wind
speed decreased. Subsequently, Chinese New Year followed,
and the fireworks display occurred, resulting in increased
emissions of sulfur compounds and nitrogenous compounds.
However, from Fig. 11, the effect of transportation from
the northwest was greater than the local source of pollution.
Therefore, in Zhengzhou, the pollution from east clears
and the pollution from the northwest increases which was
similar with the result of Hebei (Huang et al. 2017). The
pollutions would be easy to assemble in the stable
meteorological conditions.

CONCLUSION

Inorganic compounds in the PM₂.₅ were measured using
FTIR from January 24 to January 31, 2014, in Zhengzhou.
By combining the data on gases (NO₂ and SO₂), particle
mass concentration (PM₂.₅), and meteorological parameters,
the daily variations and time series of the pollution were
analyzed, and the measurement period was divided into three stages according to the trends. Pollution levels were low during Stage 1 (January 24–26). The NO3\textsuperscript{–} concentration correlated well with the NO\textsubscript{2} concentration (R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.89), suggesting that the former was mainly affected by NO\textsubscript{2}. The SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2–} concentration also correlated well with the humidity (R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.72) but poorly with the SO\textsubscript{2} concentration (R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.054), suggesting that the formation of sulfate in Stage 1 may have resulted mainly from heterogeneous processes. Wind from the east of Zhengzhou was one main reason that pollutants rapidly dispersed and the haze cleared. In Stage 2 (January 26 to noon on January 30), the SOR correlated poorly with the SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2–} concentration (R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.45), indicating that transportation was the primary cause of the high sulfate concentration, and the NOR correlated well with the NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{–} concentration (R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.91), suggesting that nitrate was formed through homogeneous gas-phase reactions of NO\textsubscript{2} with OH or O\textsubscript{3}, becoming HNO\textsubscript{3} in the PM\textsubscript{2.5}. In Stage 3 (the afternoon of January 30 to January 31), the average concentration of the PM\textsubscript{2.5} increased from approximately 140 µg m\textsuperscript{–3} to 260 µg m\textsuperscript{–3}. The high levels of PM\textsubscript{2.5} during this stage may have been caused primarily by the hygroscopic growth of particles. The concentrations of sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium decreased from 37.62 µg m\textsuperscript{–3}, 56.63 µg m\textsuperscript{–3}, and 34.63 µg m\textsuperscript{–3} to 32.14 µg m\textsuperscript{–3}, 31.14 µg m\textsuperscript{–3}, and 26.35 µg m\textsuperscript{–3}, respectively. And the aerosol wet scavenging maybe be the reason. The calculated equivalence of the cations (ammonium) correlated well with that of the anions (sulfate and nitrate) (R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.94), which indicates that NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} was present in the air along with ammonium sulfate and ammonium nitrate, and the slope suggests that the air in Zhengzhou was neutralized during the measurement period. The nitrate-to-sulfate ratios were approximately 1–2, suggesting that although stationary sources, such as power plants and other sources of industrial emissions, are critical contributors, mobile sources of air pollution should not be neglected during winter in this area; these ratios were also low during the heavy-fireworks period. Backward trajectory analysis indicates that whereas pollution from the east dissipated in Zhengzhou, emissions from the northwest increased.
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