Association between Particulate Matter Air Pollution and Hospital Emergency Room Visits for Pneumonia with Septicemia: A Retrospective Analysis
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ABSTRACT

Pneumonia is an inflammatory condition of the lungs caused by infection, which may be triggered and exacerbated by particulate matter (PM) exposure. We aimed to estimate the effect of PM on emergency department (ED) visits in pneumonia patients with septicemia after controlling for gaseous pollutants. Measurements on PM₁₀, PM₂₅, and other air pollutants at each of the 11 air-quality monitoring stations in Kaohsiung City, Taiwan, were collected between 2007 and 2013. The medical records of non-trauma patients who were over 17 years old and had visited the ED with a principal diagnosis of pneumonia were extracted. Poisson models were used to examine the relationship between air pollutants and daily ED visits for pneumonia with septicemia. Interquartile increments in the levels of PM₁₀, PM₂₅, and NO₂ at lag 0 were associated with increments of 25.5%, 21.61%, and 21.97%, respectively, in the number of ED visits for pneumonia with septicemia during the warm season. The effect estimates of PM₂₅ were robust after adjusting for PM₁₀ and NO₂ in the two-pollutant model. PM₂₅ had stronger associations with ED visits in the cases of pneumonia with septicemia in relatively healthy patients, such as those without comorbid hypertension, diabetes, stroke, liver cirrhosis, respiratory disease, or malignancy. In conclusion, although the existing evidence already supports a causal relationship between PM₂₅ and pulmonary dysfunction, we propose that PM₂₅ may also play an important role in emergency visits for pneumonia with septicemia during the warm season in southern Taiwan after adjusting for PM₁₀ and NO₂, especially among relatively healthy residents.
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LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CI: Confidence interval.
CRP: C-reactive protein.
ED: Emergency department.
OR: Odds ratio.
PM: Particulate matter.
WBC: White blood cells.
IQR: Interquartile range.
PM₂₅: Coarse particulate matter.

INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade, several epidemiological studies have demonstrated positive associations between ambient levels of particulate matter (PM) and daily mortality as well as hospital admissions or emergency department (ED) visits in the case of cardiovascular and respiratory morbidities, such as asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and myocardial infarction (Cheng et al., 2015; Haikerwal et al., 2016; Weichenthal et al., 2016). Fine particles (defined as PM with an aerodynamic diameter smaller than 2.5 µm; PM₂₅) are seen as a great health and regulatory concern, with epidemiological studies suggesting that PM₂₅ may exert greater toxicity than larger particles (Wang et al., 2015; Xing et al., 2016). Toxicological studies have demonstrated that PM exposure may impact respiratory health by inducing both lung inflammation and systemic inflammation (Tamagawa et al., 2008; Hajat et al., 2015; Li et al., 2017; Maciejczyk et al., 2018).
and pneumonia with septicemia may cause systemic inflammation, which may also be triggered and exacerbated by fine particles. However, only a few studies have examined the association between PM and pneumonia, and the results have been inconsistent (Lim et al., 2005; Cheng et al., 2009). Several multi-city studies have suggested regional heterogeneity in the estimated effect of PM on mortality and hospitalization (Dominici et al., 2003; Franklin et al., 2007; Ueda et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2018). Seasonal variation has also been found to affect the estimates of PM effects (Bell et al., 2009; Tian et al., 2015). These regional and seasonal variations are partly explained by community characteristics, such as air conditioning (Bell et al., 2008), population density (Zeka et al., 2005), proportion of elderly residents (Katsouyanni et al., 2001), background location (Grivas et al., 2018), and effect modification by ambient temperature (Cheng et al., 2015). Some studies have found that patients with comorbidities may show an increased risk of pneumonia and myocardial infarction, considering the air pollutant levels (Cheng et al., 2009; Pope et al., 2015).

The present study obtained data on events of pneumonia with septicemia, over a period of 7 years, from a tertiary academic medical center in southern Taiwan with a registry of well-characterized patients who visited the ED due to pneumonia. These data were linked to air pollution and weather data and analyzed using a case-crossover design. This study had two specific objectives: (1) to evaluate the effects of increases in the short-term exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ on events of pneumonia with septicemia and (2) to explore the potential triggering effects of PM$_{2.5}$, specifically for persons with preexisting disease.

METHODS

KaoHSiuNG City

KaoHSiuNG is the largest commercial harbor and the second largest city in Taiwan, with a population of approximately 2.77 million people. It is the chief center of the heavy industry, including the China Steel Corporation and China Shipbuilding Corporation, and of the petrochemical industry and is situated on the southwest coast of Taiwan. The city is considered a symbol of recent success in Taiwan’s economic development.

ED Pneumonia Patients with Septicemia

A retrospective observational study was conducted between January 1, 2007, and December 31, 2013, in an urban tertiary medical center with an average of 72,000 ED visits per year. This study was retrospectively registered at KaoHSiuNG Chang Gung Memorial Hospital on August 27, 2017; the study was approved by Chang Gung Memorial Hospital’s institutional review board and has been carried out in accordance with the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki), registration no. 301701659B0C501. The medical records of non-trauma patients aged over 17 years, who had visited the ED with a principal diagnosis of pneumonia (International Classification of Diseases, ninth revision [ICD-9]: 480–486) with septicemia (ICD-9: 038) were extracted from the ED’s administrative database. Data on age, sex, and the risk factors for pneumonia, including hypertension, diabetes, malignancy, congestive heart failure, respiratory disease, liver cirrhosis, chronic kidney disease, and cerebellar infarction, were collected from the medical record charts of patients (Lim et al., 2003).

Pollutant and Meteorological Data

Eleven air-quality monitoring stations were established in KaoHSiuNG City in 1994 by the Taiwanese Environmental Protection Administration (EPA), a central governmental agency. The stations use commercial monitoring instruments designated by USEPA as an equivalent or reference method, and manufactured by Thermo Environmental Instruments Inc. (Franklin, MA, USA). The monitoring stations are fully automated and routinely monitor the levels of five “criteria” pollutants, including sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$) (by ultraviolet fluorescence), PM with an aerodynamic diameter smaller than 10 μm (PM$_{10}$) (by beta-ray absorption), PM$_{2.5}$ (by beta-ray absorption), nitrogen dioxide (NO$_2$) (by ultraviolet fluorescence), and ozone (O$_3$) (by ultraviolet photometry). For each day, hourly air pollution data were obtained from all the monitoring stations. The addresses of the patients were collected from their medical records, and the 24-hour average levels of these pollutants were computed from the nearest monitoring station. Daily recordings of mean temperature and mean humidity were also collected from the monitoring stations.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using the case-crossover technique (MacIntyre, 1991; Marshall and Jackson, 1993; Mittleman et al., 1995). This design is an alternative to the Poisson time series regression models for studying the short-term effects attributed to air pollutants. Single-day lags from the current day (lag 0) and 1–3 days prior to the pneumonia event (lag 1, lag 2, and lag 3) were examined separately. In the case-crossover design, within-subject comparisons were performed between a case period and control periods. A case period was defined as the date of a patient’s ED visit. For control periods, we chose the same day of the week in the same month of the same year as the case period. This control selection strategy was expected to adjust for the effects of long-term trends, seasonality, and day of the week, by design (Peng et al., 2005). We estimated the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of the cases of pneumonia associated with PM$_{2.5}$ mass and each air pollutant component using conditional logistic regression. Subgroup analyses by sex, age, and underlying disease groups were also performed to identify the most susceptible subpopulations.

Both single-pollutant models and multi-pollutant models were fitted with different combinations of pollutants (up to two pollutants per model) to assess the stability of the effects of PM. Exposure levels to air pollutants were entered into the models as continuous variables. Meteorological variables, such as daily average temperature and humidity on the same day, which may play a confounding role, were included in the model. The ORs were calculated on the basis of an interquartile range (IQR) increment in the
PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$, and O$_3$ exposure. The criterion for significance was set at $p < 0.05$. All analyses were performed using SAS software version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA).

RESULTS

During the seven-year study period, a total of 4,827 visits to the ED were recorded for cases of pneumonia with septicemia. A total of 812 patients were excluded from the analysis because they did not reside in Kaohsiung City; the remaining 4,015 patients comprised our study group. The demographic characteristics of the 4,015 patients are listed in Table 1.

Of these patients, 2,545 (63.5%) were male and the mean age was 67.2 years. Hypertension (27.0%), respiratory disease (21.5%), and diabetes (20.0%) were the most frequently reported underlying diseases.

A summary of the meteorological factors and daily mean concentrations of air pollutants, as well as weather variables in Kaohsiung during the study period, is presented in Table 2. The average PM$_{2.5}$ concentration over the study period was 43.7 µg m$^{-3}$. There were seasonal variations in the concentrations of all the pollutants between the cold (October to March) and warm (April to September) seasons. The levels of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$, and O$_3$ were significantly higher during the cold season ($p < 0.001$), whereas the temperature and humidity were significantly higher during the warm season ($p < 0.001$).

Pearson's correlation coefficients for the air pollutants and weather conditions are presented in Table 3. PM$_{2.5}$ was highly correlated with PM$_{10}$ ($r = 0.924$; $p < 0.0001$). NO$_2$ Table 1. Demographic characteristic of patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic characteristics of patients</th>
<th>Number = 4015</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean ± SD) (67.22 ± 17.13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males 2545</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory disease 863</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension 1085</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes 802</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past history of stroke 492</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver cirrhosis 121</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure 283</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal insufficiency 317</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignancy 719</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukemia 66</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkinsonism 113</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard deviation.

Table 2. Summary statistics for meteorological factors and air pollution in Kaohsiung, 2007–2013.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>25%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>75%</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Warm season (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Cold season (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>IQR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>25.01</td>
<td>42.98</td>
<td>57.64</td>
<td>135.26</td>
<td>43.72</td>
<td>30.63 ± 15.18</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>32.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>14.69</td>
<td>44.14</td>
<td>72.38</td>
<td>98.53</td>
<td>581.96</td>
<td>74.65</td>
<td>52.06 ± 23.07</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>54.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2$</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>13.64</td>
<td>18.83</td>
<td>25.14</td>
<td>45.24</td>
<td>19.60</td>
<td>14.49 ± 4.61</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>11.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_2$</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>8.26</td>
<td>17.65</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>6.20 ± 2.09</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_3$</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>19.19</td>
<td>28.25</td>
<td>37.73</td>
<td>74.60</td>
<td>29.24</td>
<td>23.33 ± 13.18</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>18.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>12.41</td>
<td>22.40</td>
<td>36.42</td>
<td>28.75</td>
<td>32.11</td>
<td>25.34</td>
<td>28.25 ± 1.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>6.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humidity</td>
<td>43.97</td>
<td>69.84</td>
<td>73.91</td>
<td>78.08</td>
<td>95.32</td>
<td>74.02</td>
<td>75.80 ± 6.69</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>8.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IQR: Interquartile range; SD: Standard deviation; PM: Particulate matter.

Table 3. Spearman's correlation coefficients for air pollutants and weather conditions in the study period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PM$_{2.5}$</th>
<th>PM$_{10}$</th>
<th>NO$_2$</th>
<th>SO$_2$</th>
<th>O$_3$</th>
<th>TEMP</th>
<th>Humidity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.924</td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>-0.573</td>
<td>-0.392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>-0.540</td>
<td>-0.418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2$</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.550</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>-0.749</td>
<td>-0.399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_2$</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>-0.255</td>
<td>-0.330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_3$</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>-0.378</td>
<td>-0.378</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>-0.378</td>
<td>-0.378</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humidity</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>-0.378</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PM: Particulate matter.
(r = 0.810, p < 0.0001), and SO\textsubscript{2} (r = 0.508, p < 0.0001). The year-round and season-specific estimates of the pollutants’ effects are presented in Fig. 1. During the warm season, an IQR increase in the levels of PM\textsubscript{2.5}, PM\textsubscript{10}, and NO\textsubscript{2} had associated effects at lag 0, at increments of 25.5% (95% CI: 8.06–45.71%), 21.61% (95% CI: 1.59–45.58%) and 21.97% (95% CI: 1.51–46.55%), respectively, in the frequency of ED visits for pneumonia with septicemia. An

![Fig. 1. OR (95% CI) for ED visits for pneumonia with septicemia, associated with IQR increase in air pollutants. Adjustments were made for temperature and humidity. OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, IQR: interquartile range, ED: emergency department, PM: particulate matter.](image)
IQR increase in the levels of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$, and O$_3$ was not significantly associated with ED visits for pneumonia with septicemia cases, overall and for the cold season. The association between PM and pneumonia with septicemia was strongest at lag 0 and decreased gradually in the later lags. Stronger associations were observed in the warm season.

A two-pollutant model was used to gain insight into which individual contaminant may influence the number of pneumonia with septicemia ED visits, independently of the effects of the other pollutants (Table 4). In the multi-pollutant models, we simultaneously included PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, and NO$_2$ during the warm season, based on the results of the single-pollutant models. The results of this analysis are shown in Table 4. An IQR increase in PM$_{2.5}$ was significantly associated with ED visits for pneumonia with septicemia after adjusting for PM$_{10}$ (OR = 1.413, 95% CI: 1.043–1.914) and NO$_2$ (OR = 1.213, 95% CI: 1.025–1.436). An IQR increase in PM$_{10}$ was not significantly associated with ED visits for pneumonia with septicemia after adjusting for PM$_{2.5}$ (OR = 0.848, 95% CI: 0.588–1.224) and NO$_2$ (OR = 1.145, 95% CI: 0.935–1.401).

Fig. 2 shows the results of the stratified analysis to examine the effects of PM$_{2.5}$ on pneumonia with septicemia cases during the warm season by differential underlying diseases. PM$_{2.5}$ was significantly associated with pneumonia

Table 4. OR (95% CI) of pneumonia-with-septicemia ED visits for each interquartile change in two-pollutant model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adjusted for temperature, humidity and pollutant</th>
<th>Adjust PM$_{2.5}$</th>
<th>Adjust PM$_{10}$</th>
<th>Adjust NO$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>1.413 (1.043–1.914)</td>
<td>1.213 (1.025–1.436)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>0.848 (0.588–1.224)</td>
<td>1.145 (0.935–1.401)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2$</td>
<td>0.995 (0.891–1.347)</td>
<td>1.146 (0.933–1.408)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR: Odds ratio; PM: Particulate matter; CI: Confidence interval.

Fig. 2. ORs after adjustment for temperature and humidity, with an interquartile increase in PM$_{2.5}$. *p < 0.05; Int P: interaction p-value; PM: particulate matter.
in relatively healthy patients, such as those without hypertension (OR = 1.317, 95% CI: 1.110–1.563), diabetes (OR = 1.330, 95% CI: 1.126–1.569), old stroke (OR = 1.309, 95% CI: 1.116–1.536), liver cirrhosis (OR = 1.263, 95% CI: 1.084–1.470), respiratory disease (OR = 1.265, 95% CI: 1.084–1.476), renal insufficiency (OR = 1.265, 95% CI: 1.084–1.476), or malignancy (OR = 1.264; 95% CI: 1.085–1.495), and those of a younger age (OR = 1.423, 95% CI: 1.097–1.845), but the difference did not achieve statistical significance, as all interaction p-values were > 0.05. The OR was slightly higher in patients with heart failure (OR = 1.492, 95% CI: 0.718–3.100) than in those without (OR = 1.246, 95% CI: 1.069–1.452), but the difference did not achieve statistical significance (interaction p = 0.931).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we estimated the effect of PM on ED visits in pneumonia patients with septicemia and found that PM2.5, PM10, and NO2 may play an important role in emergency visits for pneumonia with septicemia in Kaohsiung during the warm season. Recent studies have demonstrated that ambient air pollutants have varying health impacts. PM2.5, NO2, CO, and SO2 were found to have significant associations with cases of out-of-hospital cardiac arrests (OHCA) (Kang et al., 2016). In another study, increased levels of SO2 and NO2 were associated with cardiovascular disease-associated mortality (Liu et al., 2015), in which PM2.5 was not associated with cardiovascular disease-related mortality. PM2.5, PM10, SO2, and NO2 were also related to respiratory-related emergency visits among children (Ilabaca et al., 2017). Kang et al. (2016) found that PM2.5 was associated with several different diseases, such as OHCA, myocardial infarction, stroke, and respiratory mortality (Gardiner et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2016; Ueda et al., 2016; Ren et al., 2017). Cheng et al. (2009) demonstrated that on warm days, PM10, NOx, and CO were associated with pneumonia admissions. In Cheng's study, pneumonia admissions were associated with PM10, NOx, and CO and were similar for patients with or without comorbid diabetes, hypertension, and asthma. However, PM2.5 was not included in that study. Another study revealed that coarse PM (PM10, defined as PM with an aerodynamic diameter between 2.5 µm and 10 µm) and PM2.5 were significantly associated with emergency pneumonia hospitalizations (Qiu et al., 2014). In our study, we observed a significant association between PM2.5, PM10, and NOx and pneumonia with septicemia ED visits. Furthermore, PM2.5 seemed to be associated with pneumonia in relatively healthy patients, but the differences between subgroups with and without underlying disease did not achieve statistical significance. This difference may be attributed to variances in the patient groups between the studies. Previous studies included patients admitted for pneumonia, we included ED visits for pneumonia patients with septicemia. In the present study, we attempted to identify patients with different underlying diseases and who had a higher sensitivity to air pollutants. We found stronger associations between PM concentrations and pneumonia with septicemia ED visits in patients without comorbid hypertension, diabetes, old stroke, liver cirrhosis, respiratory disease, renal insufficiency, and malignancy and those of a younger age; however, the differences did not achieve statistical significance. These results are different from those of previous studies. Qiu et al. (2014) showed that PM10 and PM2.5 were associated with emergency hospital admissions for pneumonia, especially among women, children, and elderly adults (≥ 65 years old).

One possible reason for the different results between Qiu’s study and the present study could be that the study populations were different, we only included patients with pneumonia with septicemia, whereas previous studies included pneumonia patients with or without septicemia. Second, patients with underlying diseases had higher rates of septicemia (Salive et al., 1993; Storms et al., 2017), the underlying condition might be the major confounding factor that overcomes the PM effect. Third, patients with underlying conditions or advanced age might spend more time indoors, due to bedridden or limited activity conditions. These situations might limit their PM exposure. Our study is one of the few that focuses on PM in the context of pneumonia with septicemia. Therefore, further studies are needed to clarify the relationship between pneumonia with septicemia and PM, especially for subgroup analysis.

In many previous epidemiological studies, the time window for health effects of air pollution ranged 0–3 days. Huang et al. (2016) found that short-term exposure to PM was positively associated with hospital admissions for ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke on lag 0 on warm days. Kang et al. (2016) found the highest OR of OHCA for PM2.5 was observed at lag 2. For respiratory diseases, PM2.5 was associated with pneumonia hospital admissions on lag 0–2 (Cheng et al., 2015) and for asthma on lag 0 (Haikerwal et al., 2016). Qiu et al. (2014) revealed that the highest OR of emergency pneumonia hospitalizations for PM2.5 and PM10 was observed on lag 0–3. The present study had similar result, which demonstrated that PM2.5 played an important role in emergency visits for pneumonia with septicemia on lag 0. A human study showed that PM was associated with elevation of different cytokines on different lag days (Hassanvand et al., 2017), and this might be the reason for the different health impacts on different time lags of PM.

Several previous studies tried to clarify the mechanism underlying the relationship between air pollutants and lung inflammation. Several animal studies have shown an association between PM and lung inflammation. Zosky et al. (2014) found that geogenic particles induced an acute inflammatory response that peaked at 6 hours post-exposure and a deficit in lung mechanics that peaked at 7 days post-exposure in mice. PM2.5 inhalation was related to multiple mechanisms associated with lung inflammation, such as an increase in epidermal growth factor receptor-mediated lung inflammation, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1, and interleukin 6 IL-6-dependent activation of coagulation (Budinger et al., 2011, Jin et al., 2017). PM10 exposure was also found to be associated with lung
inflammation through the inclusion of the recruitment of neutrophils and the induction of T helper 1 cell-related cytokine release, impairing lung function and increasing viral load and exacerbating the response to respiratory viral infection (Clifford et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2017).

Some human studies have also explored the association among PM2.5, PM10, and systemic inflammation. Li et al. (2017) found that PM2.5 exposure was associated with elevated C-reactive protein (CRP) and tumor necrosis factor receptor 2 (TNFεR2), which were associated with inflammation. Hassanvand et al. (2017) also revealed that PM2.5 exposure was associated with elevated levels of white blood cells (WBCs), high-sensitivity CRP, von Willebrand factor (vWF), and IL-6. The same study also found that PM10 exposure was associated with elevated WBC, IL-6, and vWF levels. WBC, IL-6, high-sensitivity CRP, and vWF are important for systemic inflammation (Hurst et al., 2001; Bernardo et al., 2004). Our study demonstrated that PM2.5 and PM10 were associated with ED visits for pneumonia with septicemia. This may be a result of the lung inflammation triggered and systemic inflammation enhanced by PM2.5 and PM10.

In the two-pollutant model, an IQR increase in PM2.5 was associated with an increase in the number of ED visits for pneumonia with septicemia, after adjusting for PM10. These findings suggest that some types of PM2.5 have a more hazardous effect than PM10. Similarly, Cheng et al. (2015) revealed that PM2.5 exerted the greatest effect on the rate of respiratory disease-related admissions, including pneumonia. Moreover, PM2.5 was associated with a higher number of inflammation and coagulation blood markers than PM10 (Bernardo et al., 2004). These findings suggest that PM2.5 plays a more important role in pneumonia and systemic inflammation than PM10. However, more evidence is necessary to uncover the underlying mechanism.

The hazardous effect of air pollutants appears to vary by season. Peng et al. (2005) explored the seasonal patterns in the short-term effects of PM on mortality using data from 100 cities in the United States. Their analyses showed that the association between PM and mortality varied by region. Stronger associations were observed during the spring and summer in the northern regions, with no clear seasonal variation in the southern regions. Another study demonstrated that PM2.5 was associated with mortality, especially during transitional seasons (spring and autumn) (Ueda et al., 2016). Huang et al. (2016) stated that PM2.5, PM10, and PM16 were positively associated with hospital admissions for ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke on warm days. Cheng et al. (2015) demonstrated that higher levels of PM2.5 enhanced the risk of hospital admissions for respiratory diseases on cool days. Our study found that an IQR increase in PM2.5, PM10, and NO2 had associated effects on the frequency of ED visits for pneumonia with septicemia during the warm season in southern Taiwan. The effects of PM2.5 were robust after adjusting for PM10 and NO2, especially among relatively healthy residents.

CONCLUSIONS

Although the existing evidence already supports a causal relationship between PM2.5 and pulmonary dysfunction, we propose that PM2.5, PM10, and NO2 may also play an important role in emergency visits for pneumonia with septicemia during the warm season in southern Taiwan. The effects of PM2.5 were robust after adjusting for PM10 and NO2, especially among relatively healthy residents.
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