Thermophoresis and Brownian Motion Effects on Nanoparticle Deposition Inside a 90° Square Bend Tube
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ABSTRACT

Aerosol nanoparticle deposition onto a surface under a temperature gradient is commonly applied in the chemical and medical industries. In this study, a numerical investigation with a two-phase model is used to investigate the deposition characteristics of nanosized particles in a 90° square bend. The effects of variations in the gas phase physical parameters, such as density, viscosity, and thermal diffusivity with changing temperatures are studied. The main forces acting on the particles are the drag forces, Brownian forces, and thermophoretic forces. A discrete phase model (DPM) based on the FLUENT software is used to investigate particle transfer. The results show that in a temperature gradient flow, particles move towards the colder wall, and some of them strike and deposit onto its surface. The particle deposition efficiency increases with the temperature gradient rising. The Brownian force plays a more important role in particle deposition when smaller particles are used. Because of inertia and gravity, particle deposition on the four surfaces of a 90° square bend tube is inhomogeneous. The deposition efficiency on the floor surface increases with increasing particle diameter. On the contrary, larger particles decrease the deposition efficiency on the ceiling surface.
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NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_{air}$</td>
<td>air thermal conductivity (W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{p}$</td>
<td>particle thermal conductivity (W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{c}$</td>
<td>the slip correction factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>the side length of inlet (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_B$</td>
<td>Brownian diffusion coefficient (m$^2$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_e$</td>
<td>Dean number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_T$</td>
<td>thermophoretic diffusion coefficient (m$^2$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_p$</td>
<td>diameter of the nanoparticle (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>unit mass force (N kg$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_B$</td>
<td>the Brownian force (N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_D$</td>
<td>the drag force (N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_T$</td>
<td>the thermophoretic force (N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>Gravitational acceleration (m s$^{-2}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K$</td>
<td>the Boltzmann constant (= 1.381 × 10$^{-23}$ J K$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_n$</td>
<td>Knudsen number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>the mass (kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>the deposition efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>the number of particle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_BT$</td>
<td>the ratio of Brownian diffusivity to thermophoretic diffusivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>pressure (Pa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_0$</td>
<td>the curvature ratio of the elbow (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_1$</td>
<td>the internal diameter of the elbow (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_2$</td>
<td>the external diameter of the elbow (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_e$</td>
<td>Reynolds number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>the time (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>temperature (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u$</td>
<td>velocity (m s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x$, $y$, $z$</td>
<td>dimensional Cartesian coordinates (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>thermal diffusivity (m$^2$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla$</td>
<td>Gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>dynamic viscosity (kg m$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu$</td>
<td>kinematic viscosity (m$^2$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\xi_0$</td>
<td>Gaussian random numbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>density (kg m$^{-3}$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INTRODUCTION

Aerosol nanoparticle deposition in bend surfaces is adopted in diverse scenarios, such as chemical and medical industries, environmental engineering, instrument and...
semiconductor engineering (Garoosi et al., 2015a; Gupta et al., 2016; Tiwari et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2018). In transport processes, it is important to understand the physics and the process of particle motion, which is directly related to particle deposition on the container walls and can result in scale formation, fouling, and reduction of heat transfer performance (Stapleton et al., 2000; Mädler and Friedlander, 2007; Murgia et al., 2016; Schubert et al., 2017).

The physical mechanisms governing the movement and deposition of aerosol particles are complex. Numerous factors contribute to particle deposition on the surface of a tube, such as gravitation, electrostatic interactions, inertial impaction, turbulence, and Brownian diffusion (Zhang and Friedlander, 2000; Ketzl and Berkowicz, 2004). Of these, Brownian particle diffusion is more significant for nanosized particles than for larger particles, leading to higher deposition rates for nanoparticles (Li et al., 2010). When there is a gradient in fluid temperature, particles tend to move from the higher-temperature region to the lower-temperature region via thermophoresis (Rahman et al., 2012). Shimada et al. (1993) first determined the deposition velocities for monodisperse aerosol particles with diameters of 10–40 nm at two cross-sections of pipe by considering Brownian and turbulent diffusive deposition. Apart from this, he (1994) also found that thermophoretic deposition was enhanced by the increase in the wall temperature variation, but this phenomenon did not depend on the particle. Shams (2000) and Ahmadi (2001) studied the effects of turbulent, gravitational, Brownian, and lift forces, and determined the deposition velocity and particle deposition rate. Tsai et al. (2004) assessed various particle deposition effects so that thermophoretic deposition alone was measured accurately. Buongiorno (2006) investigated different slip mechanisms between nanoparticles and base fluids. He concluded that Brownian diffusion and thermophoresis are the only important slip mechanisms in laminar flow. Zahmatkesh (2008) showed that depending upon temperature gradient, varying degrees of thermophoretic and Brownian diffusion may contribute to particle deposition. Lin et al. (2009a, b) studied the transport and deposition of nanoparticles in bends for different angular velocities, Dean numbers, and Schmidt numbers. Healy and Young (2010) critically discussed the various expressions for the thermophoretic force on an aerosol particle. Chiou et al. (2011) considered the particle transport mechanisms of Brownian and turbulent diffusion, eddy impaction, particle inertia, and thermophoresis, and discovered that in the presence of a temperature gradient near the container wall, the thermophoretic effect plays a more active role in movement through the viscous sublayer compared to the other mechanisms, and the smallest particles benefit most from this effect because of their low inertia. Abarham et al. (2013) developed an axisymmetric model to predict thermophoretic deposition, and found that the axisymmetric model estimated the deposited mass more accurately. Guha and Samanta (2014) examined various thermophoresis expressions and computed the deposition of nano- to micro-sized particles on both vertical and horizontal surfaces. Lin et al. (2010, 2014) studied aerosol particle deposition under the combined effects of Brownian diffusion, turbulent diffusion, particle coagulation, and breakage with a moment method.

In the above mentioned references there are two basically different approaches to study the moving of particles suspension in fluid, Eulerian – Eulerian and Eulerian - Lagrangian approaches. The Eulerian approach deals with the concentration of particles and states the overall diffusion and convection of a number of particles whereas Lagrangian approach deals with the individual particle and calculates the trajectory of each particle separately (Saidi et al., 2014; Garoosi et al., 2016). Therefore, the calculations with Lagrangian approach are quite more time consuming than Eulerian approach. But the studies of Vegendla (2011) show that slip velocity between the fluid and particles may exist due to several factors such as Brownian, thermophoresis, gravity forces etc., so Lagrangian models agreed well with experimental data; therefore it seems that the Eulerian - Lagrangian approach is better model to apply the nanoparticle.

Despite the attention paid to aerosol particle deposition under the effects of either Brownian diffusion or thermophoresis, there is a lack of study on nanoparticle motion and deposition in a bend under the combined effects of these forces. The competition between Brownian diffusion and thermophoresis affects the particle distribution in the cross-section of the pipe, and thus, changing the deposition efficiency is not explicit. The most severe abrasion and corrosion in a tube always occurs at a bend. The internal flow characteristics in a bend are different and more complex than those in the straight pipe, which changes the deposition rates and locations of the particles. The deposition of micro-sized aerosol particles in a 90° bend has been studied extensively, but there are fewer studies about nanosized particles with temperature gradients in bends. For a better understanding of the mechanism of nanoparticle flow in a temperature gradient at a bend, a numerical investigation with a two-phase model is presented here to model the deposition characteristics of nanosized particles in a 90° square bend tube. The main goal of this work is to explore the different effects of Brownian and thermophoretic motions on the process of nanoparticle motion.

**MATHEMATICAL MODEL**

**Governing Equations of the Gas Phase**

In this study, the continuous phase of gas is calculated using Euler approach along with a finite-volume method. It is assumed that the gas is incompressible, Newtonian, and continuous. The fluid flow field in a pipe is calculated by solving the governing partial differential equations of mass, momentum, and energy, which are:

$$\nabla \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \rho \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} \quad (2)$$
\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T = \alpha \nabla^2 T
\]  
(3)

where \( \mathbf{u} \) is the fluid velocity vector, \( p \) the gas pressure, \( T \) the temperature, \( t \) the time respectively, \( v \) is the viscosity of gas, \( \rho \) the density of the fluid, \( \alpha \) the thermal diffusivity, and all of them change with the gas temperature. The effects of temperature on density, viscosity and thermal diffusivity in the range 0–100°C can be described using the polynomial functions of experimental data as (Incropera and DeWitt, 2002):

\[
\rho = 4.361 - 0.0217T + 5.005 \times 10^{-5}T^2 - 5.818 \times 10^{-8}T^3 + 2.654 \times 10^{-11}T^4
\]  
(4)

\[
\mu = (-2.604 + 0.04T - 2 \times 10^{-4}T^2 + 3 \times 10^{-7}T^3 - 2 \times 10^{-10}T^4) \times 10^{-5}
\]  
(5)

\[
a = 0.62 - 7.9 \times 10^{-3}T + 3.9 \times 10^{-5}T^2 - 8 \times 10^{-8}T^3 + 6 \times 10^{-11}T^4
\]  
(6)

Equation of Particle Motion

The particle migration is affected by many slip mechanisms, such as fluid drag, inertia, Brownian motion, gravity, thermophoresis, and so on. According to Buongiorno’s (2006) study, nanoparticles can be in motion with transport fluid, while maintaining a slip velocity relative to the fluid. For nanoparticles, the Brownian force and thermophoretic force are the important slip mechanisms in the transfer process.

In this study, the particle motion is simulated by a Lagrangian method. Considering both precision and efficiency, the particle phase is taken into account the following three assumptions. Firstly, it is assumed that particles are smooth, spherical, dilute and minute. Secondary, for numerous small particles, a direct simulation of inter-particle collisions is not practicable due to the high computational cost and the large storage requirements, and consequently the inter-particle effects may be ignored. It is reasonable considering the particle concentration and size due to Sommerfeld’s study (2001). Thirdly, the walls are considered to be perfectly absorbing, so that when a particle strikes a wall, it adheres to the surface. Therefore, the particle influence on the fluid can be neglected.

Fig. 1 shows the forces acting on a particle in a fluid flow with a temperature gradient. The flow drag force, Brownian force, and thermophoretic force are affecting a particle. The small black dots represent the gas molecules, which are randomly fluctuating. The velocity is a function of the gas temperature. The thermophoretic force is proportional to the temperature gradient, so that the wider the temperature gradient, the larger the thermophoretic force. The thermophoretic force causes nanoparticle migration across the fluid in the opposite direction of the temperature gradient. The fluid drag force is proportional to the air velocity and carries the particle through the pipe (Garoosi et al., 2015b).

Based on the forces effect on the particle and Newton’s second law, the dynamics equation of motion for the particles in a gas-particle two phases flow is given by (Garoosi and Talebi, 2017):

\[
m_p \frac{du}{dt} = gV_p (\rho - \rho_p) + F_D + F_B + F_T
\]  
(7)

where \( m_p \) is the mass of the particle, \( \rho_p \) is the density of the particles, \( u_p \) is the particle velocity, \( F_D \) the drag force, \( F_B \) the Brownian force, and \( F_T \) the thermophoretic force respectively.

The expression for the drag force is:

\[
F_D = 3\pi \mu u_p d_p/C_c
\]  
(8)

where \( \mu \) is the fluid dynamic viscosity, \( d_p \) is the diameter of the nanoparticle, and \( C_c \) the Cunningham correction factor.
which can be expressed as a function of Knudsen number ($Kn$). $Kn$ represents the mass, momentum and heat transfer between a particle and its surrounding gas molecules. The expression of $Kn$ is the ratio of gas molecule mean free path to half nanoparticle diameter. When the particle size is in the region of $0.06 < Kn < 10$, the slip correction factor $Cc$ has the following expression:

$$Cc = 1 + Kn[1.257 + 0.4\exp(-1.1/Kn)]$$  \hfill (9)

The amplitude of a random Brownian force $F_B$ was expressed as a Gaussian white noise process following Kim and Zydney (2004), using the expression:

$$F_B = \xi_0 \sqrt{12\pi\mu d_p KT / \Delta t}$$  \hfill (10)

where $K$ ($= 1.381 \times 10^{-23}$ J K$^{-1}$) is the Boltzmann constant, $\Delta t$ is the time elapsed, and $\xi_0$ is a zero-mean variant from a Gaussian probability density function. The independent values of $\xi_0$ in $x$, $y$, and $z$ components at each time evaluate the random instantaneous Brownian force of three directions.

For particles in the transition and continuum regions, the thermophoretic force was firstly formulated by Brock (1962) using a fluid mechanics method with slip-corrected boundary conditions, and then improved by Talbot et al. (1980). In this numerical simulation the thermophoretic force is expressed as:

$$F_T = 4.5\pi \frac{\mu^2}{\rho} d_p \frac{C}{1 + 3Kn} \left( \frac{C}{C_p} + \frac{2.48Kn}{1 + 2\frac{C}{C_p} + 4.48\frac{C}{C_p}} \right) \nabla T$$  \hfill (11)

where $C$ and $C_p$ are the thermal conductivity of air and the particle, respectively.

**Physical Model and Boundary Conditions**

The geometrical model of a 90° square cross-section bend studied in this paper is shown in Fig. 2. The square tube consists of a horizontal inlet section, a bending section, and a vertical downward outlet section, while the side length of cross section is 10 mm. To enable a fully developed flow state, a horizontal inlet section and a vertical straight outlet section are mounted to the 90° bend, and both lengths are 20 $D$. The curvature ratio $R_0 = (R_1 + R_2)/2 = 10$, $R_1$, $R_2$, and $D$ are shown in Fig. 2.

The velocity inlet boundary condition was defined to be the bend inlet. The temperature and velocity of gas and number and diameter of the particle were specified at the inlet boundary. The bend outlet boundary condition was outflow, and a no-slip boundary condition was used for the pipe surfaces. The wall temperature was at constant 293 K. The thermo-physical properties of the air and solid particles at $T = 293$ K as listed in Table 1.

**Numerical Grid Refinement and Verification**

The flow, including velocity and temperature distribution, through a bend has been simulated using a commercial CFD package FLUENT platform with UDF function. The governing partial differential equations were converted into algebraic equations by the finite volume method (FVM). The second-order central difference scheme is used for the diffusion terms while the convective terms are formulated by the QUICK scheme of Spalding. The semi-implicit method for pressure-linked equations (SIMPLE) is adopted to couple the pressure and velocity fields (Garoussi et al., 2013, 2017). The convergence criteria reduced the maximum relative error in the values of all dependent variables between two successive iterations below $10^{-6}$.

The entire computational region was structured by the hexahedral mesh. To obtain an optimal grid distribution with minimal computational time, grid independence study is performed at $T = 293$ K and $d_p = 80$ nm with inlet velocity $\nu = 0.6$ m s$^{-1}$. The result has been shown in Table 2. The deviations between the results for particle deposition efficiency ($\eta$) inside the tube obtained for grid number 959,616 and 1455,737 was less than 1% as depicted in Table 2. As a result, throughout this study, the number of discrete grids was 959,616. All the particle parcels are tracked by the Lagrangian approach with discrete phase model (DPM). For DPM all particles of every computational

**Table 1.** Thermo-physical properties of the air and solid particles at $T = 293$ K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\rho$ (kg m$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>$C$ (w mK$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\alpha$ (m$^2$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\mu$ (kg m$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>air</td>
<td>1.205</td>
<td>0.0259</td>
<td>$2.14 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$18.1 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>particle</td>
<td>1225</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cell are separated into a number of parcels. One simulation particle in each parcel represents all real particles in that group. There are 1764 grids in the square tube section surface, which means that the computational parcel number is 1764. However, the realistic number of nanoparticles is acquired according to the number of parcels and the mass flow. With the changing of the particle volume concentration, the mass flow has been changed accordingly. For the volume concentration of particles in the flow is smaller than 0.01%, one-way coupling can be assumed on the basis of the prior three assumptions. Therefore, each motion of particles is affected by the gas flow, but the gas flow is not affected by the presence of the nanoparticles.

Fig. 3 shows the theoretical and numerical velocity distributions of fluid flow in the tube before the elbow under adiabatic condition. The results from Fig. 3 are in good agreement with the given Poiseuille theoretical velocity distribution, indicating the validity of the flow simulation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Continuous Flow Field

The dispersion and deposition of particles in fluid flow were affected by a variety of different factors, including the flow Reynolds number, particle diameter, and initial conditions. Particle behavior in a continuous bend flow field depends on the flow Reynolds number and the curvature ratio, which can be combined into another parameter, Dean number (De). De replaces the Reynolds number for flow in a curved pipe (Yook and Pui, 2006). The Dean number is calculated using $De = Re \times (1/R_0)^{0.5}$. In this paper the Dean number, which changed with the inlet temperature and velocity, is smaller than 200. The fluid field is in laminar flow state.

![Fig. 3. The velocity distribution at $x = 20D$.](image)

Particle Deposition Analysis

In this study, the particle transfer may be caused by the flow drag, Brownian, thermophoretic, and gravitational forces. Nanoparticles can homogeneously move with the fluid, given a slip velocity relative to the fluid. For nanoparticles, the Brownian force and thermophoretic force are the significant slip mechanisms in the transfer process. The thermophoretic force causes a non-uniform particle distribution, while Brownian forces take the particles in the opposite direction of the particle concentration gradient, trying to make the particles more homogeneous. The Brownian force and thermophoretic force oppose and affect each other. To determine the main mechanism of the nanoparticle migration, the ratio of Brownian diffusivity to thermophoretic diffusivities given different temperature gradients ($N_{BT}$) has been defined. The Brownian diffusivity, $D_B$, is expressed by the Einstein-Stokes equation as:

$$D_B = \frac{K T}{6 \pi \eta_f d_p}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

The thermophoretic diffusion coefficient $D_T$ is:

$$D_T = \frac{0.26 \mu_f \alpha_f}{\rho_f T (2\alpha_f + \alpha_p)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)
The expression of $N_{BT}$ is (Malvandi and Ganji, 2014; Ryzhkov and Minakov, 2014):

$$N_{BT} = \frac{D_B}{D_f \nu T}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Fig. 7 shows $N_{BT}$ changing with particle diameter and temperature. $N_{BT}$ decreases with particle diameter and temperature increasing. This result shows that the Brownian force plays a more important role for particle deposition with smaller particles and lower air temperatures, such as $\Delta T < 20^\circ C$ for $d_p < 100$ nm, or $\Delta T > 60^\circ C$ for $d_p > 30$ nm. The higher the temperature gradient, the larger the thermophoretic force will be. When $\Delta T > 80^\circ C$, nanoparticle migration is determined by the thermophoretic force with $d_p < 100$ nm. Thermophoretic force pushes the nanoparticles in the opposite direction of the temperature gradient and causes a less uniform nanoparticle distribution. Brownian force is a random force, but with higher concentration...
gradients and a less uniform nanoparticle distribution, the Brownian force pushes the particles in the opposite direction of the particle concentration gradient and makes their distribution more homogeneous. In this study, the wall has been set to be lower temperature than the fluid, so that the Brownian force and the thermophoretic force oppose and affect each other near the pipe wall.

Among Brownian force, flow drag force, thermophoretic force and gravity, the thermophoretic force and gravity are always pointing towards the wall. To compare the importance of these two forces in inducing particle deposition, Fig. 8 shows a particle’s acceleration because of the thermophoretic force with different temperature gradients and gravity. The result shows that with an increase of particle diameter from 20 nm to 100 nm, the particle’s acceleration caused by thermophoretic force decreases rapidly. Thermophoretic acceleration is clearly affected by temperature difference, and a high temperature gradient induces high thermophoretic acceleration. When \( d_p < 50 \) nm, the value of acceleration from the thermophoretic force is greater than gravity, and the thermophoretic force dominates the particle’s deposition in the presence of a temperature gradient. When \( d_p > 50 \) nm, the effect of gravity on the deposition process become more important.

In this paper, the Eulerian-Lagrangian method has been used to simulate the gas and particles flow in a steady laminar regime. Different flow conditions have been determined as functions of particle diameter and varied fluid temperatures. Once a particle hits a pipe wall it sticks to the surface. The nanoparticle deposition efficiency \( n \) in a bend is defined as:

\[
 n = \frac{N_{\text{deposition}}}{N_{\text{total}}} \times 100\% \quad (15)
\]

where \( N_{\text{deposition}} \) is the deposition particle number on the wall, and \( N_{\text{total}} \) is the number of the total particles released at the inlet. Fig. 9 shows the result of nanoparticle deposition efficiency changing with particle diameter and fluid inlet temperature. The figure indicates the nanoparticle deposition efficiency \( n \) increases with fluid temperature and only shows a small change with changing particle diameter. The nanoparticle deposition efficiency increases from \(< 5\%\) with flow inlet temperature at 293 K (with a temperature gradient of 0 K) to near 40\% with flow inlet temperature at 373 K and temperature gradient equal to 90 K. The former particle deposition is caused by the Brownian force and the effects of gravity. Because of the low particle concentration, the Brownian movement is random and sometimes opposite the gravity effect. As a result, the nanoparticle deposition efficiency is very low. Small particles have violent Brownian motions, giving them a high probability to hit the surface. With increasing the temperature gradient, the thermophoretic
force dominates particle deposition. As a result, the deposition efficiency increases with temperature. In Fig. 9 the Brownian movement induces the fluctuation of particle deposition efficiency with $d_p < 30$ nm.

Fig. 10 shows the nanoparticle deposition efficiency ($n$) changing with particle diameter at $De = 62$ and $T_{in} = 373$ K. With $d_p < 30$ nm, the result shows that Brownian movement is the main reason for particle deposition on the surface with the same temperature gradient. The particle movement is random, which leads to the nanoparticle deposition efficiency on the floor surface to be smaller than that of the ceiling surface. With increasing particle diameter, gravity becomes more significant. However, the difference of the nanoparticle deposition efficiency between the floor surface and ceiling surface becomes larger. The phenomenon of larger particles of high inertia tending to deposit on the outer wall of a curved bend is apparent in Fig. 10, because of the secondary flow structures.

CONCLUSION

This paper uses theoretical and numerical simulation methods to analyze gas-nanoparticle flows with temperature gradient which are of great importance in many technical applications. The continuous phase is predicted by applying Euler’s method to the effects of temperature on fluid physical parameters. For the particulate, the discrete phase model within a Lagrangian framework is adopted to track particles through the flow field. The drag force, Brownian force, thermophoretic force and gravity have been considered to study particle deposition on the surfaces of a 90° square bend tube within the laminar region. The following conclusions were obtained:

1. Nonaparticles deposit not only at the floor surface of the square pipe, but also at the two side walls and the ceiling. The number of the nanoparticles deposition on the bend surfaces depends on the effects of various forces.

2. The Brownian force plays a more important role for particle deposition with smaller size and lower air temperature, which makes the particles more homogeneous.

3. The thermophoretic force dominates the deposition of larger particles, which increases with temperature gradient. At the same time, gravity strongly affects particle deposition on the floor surface.
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